THE ILLNESS NARRATIVES

Suffering, Healing, and the Human Condition

ARTHUR KLEINMAN, M.D.

Basic Books, Inc., Publishers

NEW YORK

1988

Excerpts from "The Marrow" and "The Meadow Mouse" by Theodore Roethke from *The Collected Poems of Theodore Roethke*. Copyright © 1962, 1963 by Beatrice Roethke as administratrix of the estate of Theodore Roethke. Reprinted by permission of Doubleday, a division of Bantam, Doubleday, Dell Publishing Group, Inc.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Kleinman, Arthur.

The illness narratives.

Bibliography: p. 269.

Includes index.

1. Chronic diseases—Psychological aspects. I. Title.

[DNLM: 1. Chronic Disease—ps ychology. 2. Chronic

Disease—therapy. WT 500 K64i]

RC108.K57 1988 616'.001'9 87-47772

ISBN 0-465-03202-8 (cloth)

ISBN 0-465-03204-4 (paper)

Copyright © 1988 by Basic Books, Inc.
Printed in the United States of America
Designed by Vincent Torre
89 90 91 92 MPC 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

The Meaning of Symptoms and Disorders

Whatever is real has a meaning.

-Michael Oakeshott ([1933] 1978, 58)

For many Americans the meaning of disease is the mechanism that defines it; even in cancer the meaning is often that we do not yet know the mechanism. To some, however, the meaning of cancer may transcend the mechanism and the ultimate ability of medicine to understand it. For such individuals the meaning of cancer may lie in the evils of capitalism, of unhindered technical progress, or perhaps in failures of individual will. We live in a complex and fragmented world and create a variety of frameworks for our several ailments. But two key elements remain fundamental: one is faith in medicine's existing or potential insights, another, personal accountability.

—Charles E. Rosenberg (1986, 34)

Illness and Disease

When I use the word *illness* in this book, I shall mean something fundamentally different from what I mean when I write *disease*. By invoking the term illness, I mean to conjure up the innately human experience of symptoms and suffering. Illness refers to how the sick person and the members of the family or wider social network perceive, live with, and respond to symptoms and disability.* Illness

*In this volume I use the terms sick person and patient interchangeably. But in fact the former conveys a more accurate sense of my point of view than the latter. Individuals who are

is the lived experience of monitoring bodily processes such as respiratory wheezes, abdominal cramps, stuffed sinuses, or painful joints. Illness involves the appraisal of those processes as expectable, serious, or requiring treatment. The illness experience includes categorizing and explaining, in common-sense ways accessible to all lay persons in the social group, the forms of distress caused by those pathophysiological processes. And when we speak of illness, we must include the patient's judgments about how best to cope with the distress and with the practical problems in daily living it creates. Illness behavior consists of initiating treatment (for example, changing diet and activities, eating special foods, resting, engaging in exercise, taking over-the-counter medication or on-hand prescription drugs) and deciding when to seek care from professionals or alternative practitioners.

Illness problems are the principal difficulties that symptoms and disability create in our lives. For example, we may be unable to walk up our stairs to our bedroom. Or we may experience distracting low back pain while we sit at work. Headaches may make it impossible to focus on homework assignments or housework, leading to failure and frustration. Or there may be impotence that leads to divorce. We may feel great anger because no one can see our pain and therefore objectively determine that our disability is real. As a result, we sense that our complaints are not believed, and we experience frustrating pressure to prove we are in constant pain. We may become demoralized and lose our hope of getting better, or we may be depressed by our fear of death or of becoming an invalid. We grieve over lost health, altered body image, and dangerously declining self-esteem. Or we feel shame because of disfigurement. All these are illness problems.

chronically ill spend much more time in the roles of sick family member, sick worker, sick self than in the role of patient, which is so redolent with the sights and smells of the clinic and which leaves an afterimage of a compliant, passive object of medical care. I wish to place stress on the sick person as the subject, the active agent of care, since in fact most treatment in chronic illness is self-treatment and most decisions are made by the sick person and family, not by health care professionals. Sick person also sounds more appropriate for the model of care I will advance. Care for chronic illness is (or should be) more like a negotiation among therapeutic allies than actions the physician takes on behalf of a patient. The patient and the practitioner bear reciprocal responsibilities, a point I will develop in chapter 15, where I describe a model of care. In spite of these good reasons, it sounds excessively artificial to avoid the term patient; hence I use the two terms interchangeably with the same meaning: more person, less patient.

Local cultural orientations (the patterned ways that we have learned to think about and act in our life worlds and that replicate the social structure of those worlds) organize our conventional common sense about how to understand and treat illness; thus we can say of illness experience that it is always culturally shaped. Paradoxical as it sounds, then, there are normal ways of being ill (ways that our society regards as appropriate) as well as anomalous ways. But conventional expectations about illness are altered through negotiations in different social situations and in particular webs of relationships. Expectations about how to behave when ill also differ owing to our unique individual biographies. So we can also say of illness experience that it is always distinctive.

Illness complaints are what patients and their families bring to the practitioner. Indeed, locally shared illness idioms create a common ground for patient and practitioner to understand each other in their initial encounter. For the practitioner, too, has been socialized into a particular collective experience of illness. Disease, however, is what the practitioner creates in the recasting of illness in terms of theories of disorder. Disease is what practitioners have been trained to see through the theoretical lenses of their particular form of practice. That is to say, the practitioner reconfigures the patient's and family's illness problems as narrow technical issues, disease problems. The patient may suffer pain that interferes with work and may lead to unemployment; self-absorption in a strict diet and severe gastrointestinal discomfort may intensify the stresses of school; or the fear of dying brought on by a heart attack may lead to social withdrawal and even divorce. Yet, in other cases, the physician diagnoses and treats elevated blood sugar that requires increased insulin, pain of uncertain origin that calls for diagnostic testing, or major depressive disorder that needs treatment with antidepressants. The healer—whether a neurosurgeon or a family doctor, a chiropractor or the latest breed of psychotherapist—interprets the health problem within a particular nomenclature and taxonomy, a disease nosology, that creates a new diagnostic entity, an "it"—the disease.

Disease is the problem from the practitioner's perspective. In the narrow biological terms of the biomedical model, this means that disease is reconfigured *only* as an alteration in biological structure or

functioning. When chest pain can be reduced to a treatable acute lobar pneumonia, this biological reductionism is an enormous success. When chest pain is reduced to chronic coronary artery disease for which calcium blockers and nitroglycerine are prescribed, while the patient's fear, the family's frustration, the job conflict, the sexual impotence, and the financial crisis go undiagnosed and unaddressed, it is a failure. In the broader biopsychosocial model now making headway in primary care, disease is construed as the embodiment of the symbolic network linking body, self, and society (see Engel 1977). In the biomedical model the disease is an occluded coronary artery; in the biopsychosocial model it is a dynamic dialectic between cardiovascular processes (hypertension or coronary artery insufficiency), psychological states (panic or demoralization), and environmental situations (a midlife crisis, a failing marriage, the death of a parent from the same disorder). In the practitioner's act of recasting illness as disease, something essential to the experience of chronic illness is lost; it is not legitimated as a subject for clinical concern, nor does it receive an intervention. Treatment assessed solely through the rhetoric of improvement in disease processes may confound the patient's (and family's) assessments of care in the rhetoric of illness problems. Hence, at the heart of clinical care for the chronically ill—those who cannot be cured but must continue to live with illness—there is a potential (and, in many cases, actual) source of conflict.

To complete the picture, I shall introduce a third term, sickness, and define it as the understanding of a disorder in its generic sense across a population in relation to macrosocial (economic, political, institutional) forces. Thus, when we talk of the relationship of tuberculosis to poverty and malnutrition that places certain populations at higher risk for the disorder, we are invoking tuberculosis as sickness; similarly, when we discuss the contribution of the tobacco industry and their political supporters to the epidemiological burden of lung cancer in North America, we are describing the sickness cancer. Not just researchers but patients, families, and healers, too, may extrapolate from illness to sickness, adding another wrinkle to the experience of disorder, seeing it as a reflection of political oppression, economic deprivation, and other social sources of human misery.

Illnesses obviously vary in outcome. Some are brief, minimally disruptive of our life activities. Some are more distressing; they take longer to run their course. And the ones we are concerned with in this book never entirely disappear. Moreover, these chronic illnesses also vary greatly. Some lead to such devastating loss of functioning that the patient is almost totally disabled. Some, while less disabling, may yet eventually exhaust the family's resources and require institutionalization. And others ultimately terminate the patient's life. Imagine, as examples, the adolescent quadriplegic whose very life requires assisted respiration and round-the-clock help with all routine bodily functions and daily activities; or the business executive whose asthma is known only to his wife and children, who greatly, though secretly, limits his recreational, parental, and conjugal activities; or the young woman demoralized by the disfiguring radical surgery that removed her sense of self-esteem along with breast cancer and by the numbing realization that the signs of metastasis are omens of her own demise. For the first case, the illness problems arise from the total, inescapable life situation organized around the constant threat to vital functions and the necessity for continuous treatment; for the second, they stem from inability to deal with the feeling of vulnerability and loss of control as well as from the futile attempt to maintain two separate worlds one free of sickness (work), the other where sickness is legitimized (home); whereas for the third, they center on the meaning of disfigurement and the menace of untimely death.

Chronic illnesses tend to oscillate between periods of exacerbation, when symptoms worsen, to periods of quiescence, when disability is less disruptive. By now a very substantial body of findings indicates that psychological and social factors are often the determinants of the swing toward amplification. The former include disabling anxiety, giving up. The latter are deeply threatening life event changes, impaired social support, and oppressive relationships that contribute to a vicious cycle undermining psychophysiological homeostasis (Katon et al. 1982; Kleinman 1986). Alternatively, the swing toward damping (a kind of internal health-promoting system that has received less research attention) frequently seems to be associated with strengthened social supports, enhanced sense of self-efficacy, and rekindled aspiration.

Periods of alleviation also reveal attendant diminution in anxiety and depression. There are rising feelings of mastery, often due to acceptance of a paradigm of care that substitutes a pragmatic notion of illness maintenance and disability reduction for the myth of cure.

Of course, swings from amplification to damping, and vice versa, need not reflect psychosocial influence: often biological change is responsible. As a result, there is uncertainty over the reason for exacerbation or remission, which, regretably, encourages a corresponding tendency to dismiss even the obvious social-psychological push of the swing. The upshot is conjoint (practitioner/patient, family) denial that chronic disorder is so influenced—a fateful complicity that in my experience correlates with pessimism and passivity. Not surprisingly, the effect is to worsen outcome.

The Meanings of Illness

Illness has meaning, as the cases I have mentioned suggest, in several distinctive senses. Each type of meaning is worth examining. From an anthropological perspective and also a clinical one, illness is polysemic or multivocal; illness experiences and events usually radiate (or conceal) more than one meaning. Some meanings remain more potential than actual. Others become effective only over the long course of a chronic disorder. Yet others change as changes occur in situations and relations. As in so many areas of life, their very ambiguity often supplies illness meanings with relevance, inasmuch as they can be applied now this way, now that way to the problem at hand. Chronic illness is more than the sum of the many particular events that occur in an illness career; it is a reciprocal relationship between particular instance and chronic course. The trajectory of chronic illness assimilates to a life course, contributing so intimately to the development of a particular life that illness becomes inseparable from life history. Continuities as well as transformations, then, lead to the appreciation of the meanings of illness.

The appreciation of meanings is bound within a relationship: it belongs to the sick person's spouse, child, friend, or care giver, or

to the patient himself. For this reason it is usually as much hedged in with ambiguities as are those relationships themselves. But in the long, oscillating course of chronic disorder, the sick, their relatives, and those who treat them become aware that the meanings communicated by illness can amplify or dampen symptoms, exaggerate or lessen disability, impede or facilitate treatment. For reasons I will review later, however, these understandings often remain unexamined, silent emblems of a covert reality that is usually dealt with either indirectly or not at all. Powerful emotions attach to these meanings, as do powerful interests.

Social reality is so organized that we do not routinely inquire into the meanings of illness any more than we regularly analyze the structure of our social world. Indeed, the everyday priority structure of medical training and of health care delivery, with its radically materialist pursuit of the biological mechanism of disease, precludes such inquiry. It turns the gaze of the clinician, along with the attention of patients and families, away from decoding the salient meanings of illness for them, which interferes with recognition of disturbing but potentially treatable problems in their life world. The biomedical system replaces this allegedly "soft," therefore devalued, psychosocial concern with meanings with the scientifically "hard," therefore overvalued, technical quest for the control of symptoms. This pernicious value transformation is a serious failing of modern medicine: it disables the healer and disempowers the chronically ill (see chapter 16). Biomedicine must be indicted of this failure in order to provoke serious interest in reform, because a powerful therapeutic alternative is at hand.

There is evidence to indicate that through examining the particular significances of a person's illness it is possible to break the vicious cycles that amplify distress. The interpretation of illness meanings can also contribute to the provision of more effective care. Through those interpretations the frustrating consequences of disability can be reduced. This key clinical task may even liberate sufferers and practitioners from the oppressive iron cage imposed by a too intensely morbid preoccupation with painful bodily processes and a too technically narrow and therefore dehumanizing vision of treatment, respectively. In chapter 15, I will set out a practical clinical method that practitioners can (and should) apply to provide

more effective and humane care of chronically sick persons. This alternative therapeutic approach originates in the reconceptualization of medical care as (1) empathic witnessing of the existential experience of suffering and (2) practical coping with the major psychosocial crises that constitute the menacing chronicity of that experience. The work of the practitioner includes the sensitive solicitation of the patient's and the family's stories of the illness, the assembling of a mini-ethnography of the changing contexts of chronicity, informed negotiation with alternative lay perspectives on care, and what amounts to a brief medical psychotherapy for the multiple, ongoing threats and losses that make chronic illness so profoundly disruptive.

Not the least of the reasons for studying illness meanings, therefore, is that such an investigation can help the patient, the family, and also the practitioner: certainly not every time, perhaps not even routinely, but often enough to make a significant difference.

Symptom as Meaning

The first kind of illness meaning that we shall consider, appropriately enough, is the surface denotation of symptom qua symptom. This is the ostensive, conventional signification of the symptom (for example, back pain, palpitations, or wheezing) as disability or distress. There is a tendency to regard such self-evident significance as "natural." But what is natural depends on shared understandings in particular cultures and not infrequently diverges among different social groups. The meanings of symptoms are standardized "truths" in a local cultural system, inasmuch as the groups' categories are projected onto the world, then called natural because they are found there. That is to say, we take for granted local forms of commonsense knowledge—a lump in the breast could be cancer, when overheated be cautious of drinking something very cold, suntanned skin is a sign of health, to be thin is better than to be fat, a firm stool once a day is normal—and these contribute to our shared appreciation of what sickness is and what is meant when a person expresses

the sickness experience through established patterns of gestures, facial expressions, and sounds or words.

As a result, when we talk of pain, for example, we are understood by those around us. Yet even surface significances can be fairly subtle. In each culture and historical period there are different ways to talk about, say, headaches. And these differences make a difference in the way the members of the sick person's circle respond to him or her. Think of the many ways to complain of headache in North American society: "My head hurts," "My head really hurts," "My head is pounding," "I'm having a migraine," "It's only a tension headache," "I feel a fullness and heavy feeling in my temples," "It feels like a ring of pain is constricting my forehead," "My sinuses ache," "My scalp is tingling," "When I move my head I feel dizzy, as if a veil were passing before my eyes." Each expression shades and colors the bald term "headache." In the lifetime course of chronic headaches, key words take on special significance to the sufferer and family that no eavesdropper could interpret. We differ as individuals in how effective we are in the use of these conventional illness idioms and special terms. Some are more skillful in the rhetorical deployment of these potentially powerful words to influence the behavior of others in the desire to receive support, to keep others at a distance, to obtain time alone, to convey anger, to conceal shame, and so forth.

Implicit in the first-level meaning of symptoms are accepted forms of knowledge about the body, the self, and their relationship to each other and to the more intimate aspects of our life worlds. For members of Western societies the body is a discrete entity, a thing, an "it," machinelike and objective, separate from thought and emotion. For members of many non-Western societies, the body is an open system linking social relations to the self, a vital balance between interrelated elements in a holistic cosmos. Emotion and cognition are integrated into bodily processes. The body-self is not a secularized private domain of the individual person but an organic part of a sacred, sociocentric world, a communication system involving exchanges with others (including the divine).

For example, among traditionally oriented Chinese, the body is regarded as a microcosm in symbolic resonance with the social and even planetary macrocosm (Porkert 1974). The body's *qi* (vital en-

ergy) is thought to be in harmony with that flowing in the environment. Yin/yang constituents of the body-self are in complementary opposition and also are in interaction with yin/yang constituents of the group and nature. Emotion correlates intimately with bodily constituents, which in turn correlate closely with the weather, the time, the physical setting, and the sociopolitical order. Conceptions of illness are based on this integrated, dialectical vision.

In India the body-self is held to be permeable to substances and symbols in social interactions (Daniel 1984). Health is a balance among the body's humors and the constituents of the outer world, mediated by diet and a hierarchy of social relations tightly organized around a systematic categorization of the world in terms of purity and pollution. A child is polluted by the touch of a menstruating mother because menstrual blood can enter the porous body (Shweder 1985), just as food received from someone in a lower caste gets incorporated into the body and pollutes it from within. The body is also permeable to supernatural and mystical forces.

Among the Navaho, the body is in perfect aesthetic and moral harmony with the physical landscape of the Navaho world (Sandner 1979; Witherspoon 1975). Body symbolizes landscape, and landscape body. A similar idea is found among the Chinese (Unschuld 1985) and many other societies. In these cultures bodily complaints are also moral problems: they are icons of disharmonies in social relationships and in the cultural ethos. Reading the Hippocratic medical texts suggests that, although some of the conceptions are quite different, a similarly integrative, dialectical view of body, self, and world was found in ancient Western society.

Meaning of a social kind is stamped into bodily processes and experiences, sometimes literally so, as, for example, when ritual circumcision and other forms of mutilation (subincision, tatooing, clitoridectomy, amputation of finger joints, scarification) mark life transitions and group and personal identity. Among Australian aboriginals a person's totem is embroidered into the skin through ritual scarification; the person receives a skin name that identifies his social group and personal status (Warner 1958; Munn 1973). Social experience is embodied in the way we feel and experience our bodily states and appear to others (Turner 1985). The tightly corseted female body in an earlier era in Europe constituted as much

as expressed a particular vision of women and their role in society. The association in many societies of femaleness with the left side of the body—which also frequently symbolizes pollution, darkness, dampness, sinister motives, and a host of other negative oppositions to the male (right) side of the body—informs bodily experience as much as social categories with the moral meaning of gender (Needham 1973). The great concern in North American culture with unblemished skin surface, deodorized, youthful bodies, sexualized body shapes and gestures is part of a diffused capitalist system of commercialized symbolic meanings, which, like all cultural systems, orients the person to body and self experiences and to the priorities and expectations of the group. Indeed, through these embodied values social control is internalized and political ideology materializes as corporeal feelings and physiological needs. To understand how symptoms and illnesses have meaning, therefore, we first must understand normative conceptions of the body in relation to the self and world. These integral aspects of local social systems inform how we feel, how we perceive mundane bodily processes, and how we interpret those feelings and processes.

We do not discover our bodies and inner worlds de novo. All humans learn methods to monitor bodily processes and rhetorical idioms (verbal and nonverbal) to communicate bodily states, including states of illness. There are distinctive styles of eating, washing, laughing, crying, and performing routine bodily functions (spitting, coughing, urinating, defecating, menstruating, and so forth). And these styles of normal activities influence illness idioms (Nichter 1982). We learn how to identify and react to pain, how to label and communicate dysfunction. The idioms we learn are often the same channels used to communicate troubles of any kind. Chest discomfort may signal anxiety or angina, pneumonia or bereavement. Tension headaches may express a number of states: from exhaustion, chronic inflammation of the cervical spine, or the distress of an acute upper respiratory infection or of worsening diabetes to the misery that results from job loss, an oppressive work situation, or a systematically demoralizing marital relationship. Not infrequently, a bodily idiom will express several of these forms of distress simultaneously. Where a physiological stress reaction or a chronic medical disease provide the particular biological substrate,

there is a specific channel of established complaints (including weakness, shortness of breath, chest discomfort, and abdominal pain) that can be amplified to express distress of various kinds. Hence, at the very core of complaints is a tight integration between physiological, psychological, and social meanings (Kleinman 1986).

Illness idioms crystallize out of the dynamic dialectic between bodily processes and cultural categories, between experience and meaning. Among New Guinea natives in the Sepik region, illness is indicated by the sick person's dramatic withdrawal (Lewis 1975). He shows the intensity of the felt experience by covering the body with ashes and dirt, refusing food, and remaining isolated. In some cultures illness idioms may be more gregarious and mundane, and in others they may be embodied as stoical silence. In some communities in India, for example, illness is expressed in the special tropes of that society's core hierarchical relationship between purity and pollution, which determines to whom one shows symptoms and from whom one accepts food and medicine. For the traditional Brahmin mother who is menstruating, the fear of polluting her son, even when he is ill, may prevent her from touching him and cause her to warn him not to come too near (Shweder 1985). In India as well as many other societies, illness behavior and care are demonstrated in the pattern of food sharing and diet (Nichter 1982). Diet is adjusted to right putative humoral imbalances. Special foods and indigenous medicines may be shared among individuals whose kinship or friendship ties bring them together into a lay therapy management team responsible for the patient's treatment (Janzen 1978). In small-scale, preliterate societies—for example, the Inuit of Alaska and the Kaluli of the New Guinea highlands—illness is expressed in the system of balanced reciprocity among members of the group that is the central structural principle of each of these societies (Briggs 1970; Schieffelin 1985). This system defines who shall do what for whom in return for who has done (or should have done) what for whom in order that in the future who will do what for whom.

In North American society we, too, possess these conventionalized understandings of the body, these customary configurations of self and symptoms. But given the marked pluralism of North American life styles; ethnic and religious backgrounds; and educational, occupational, and economic statuses, we must distinguish between popular cultural meanings that are shared and those that are restricted to particular subgroups. As a result, it is more sensible to speak of local systems of knowledge and relationships that inform how we regard symptoms; these may differ substantially from each other. Within these local systems shared meanings will be negotiated among individuals of unequal power who attempt to persuade others of the intensity of their distress and of the need for access to more resources. Members of such local systems may seek to deny the implications of an obvious abnormality, or they may try to enlist significant others in the quest for care. Obviously, individuals differ in their rhetorical skills in deploying idioms of distress (Beeman 1985).

Lay understandings of illness influence verbal as well as nonverbal communication. There may well be enough universality in facial expressions, body movements, and vocalizations of distress for members of other communities to know that we are experiencing some kind of trouble (Ekman 1980). But there are subtleties as well that indicate our past experiences, chief current concerns, and practical ways of coping with the problem. These particularities are so much a part of local assumptions that they are opaque for those to whom our shared life ways are foreign. Moreover, these distinctive idioms feed back to influence the experience of distress (Good 1977; Kleinman and Kleinman 1985; Rosaldo 1980).

I hear you say your headache is a migraine, or a tension headache owing to too much "stress," or that it is "beastly," "awful," "pounding," "throbbing," "boring," "aching," "exploding," "blinding," "depressing," "killing," and I interpret something of that experience and how you feel and want me to feel about it. (You also interpret your own language of complaining and my response to you, which will affect your symptoms.) It is a testament to the subtlety of culture that we share such a wide array of understandings of surface meanings of symptom terms. (Nigerian psychiatric patients, for example, frequently complain of a feeling like ants are crawling in their heads, a complaint that is specific to their culture [Ebigbo 1982].) I may no longer explicitly understand the Galenic system of hot and cold bodily states and the humoral balance and imbalance it connotes in Western folk culture, but I get your point

that you have a "cold" and therefore want something "hot" to drink and feel the need to dress warmly to protect your "cold" from "the cold." Our understanding is based on a grand cultural convention that would make "feed a cold, starve a fever" incomprehensible to someone without this shared local knowledge (Helman 1978).

Yet there is obviously also great uncertainty at this outer level of signification. I am not entirely sure what you mean when you say your "head is splitting," because I feel I do not know you well enough to make full sense of your experience. Are you generally stoical, hysterical, hypochondriacal, manipulative? Understanding who you are influences how I interpret your complaints. The relationship we have will inform how I respond to your complaint of headache. That relationship includes a history of how I have responded to you in the past (and you to me), along with our mutual understanding of the current situation; in the case of chronic illness, it includes as well the pattern of response and situation that has already been established over hundreds of complaints. My interpretation of your communication of distress is organized by the pattern of our daily interactions in times of sickness. Indeed, the language of your complaints has become a part of the language of our relationship. Hence, even the superficial significance of symptoms qua symptoms is embedded in the meanings and relationships that organize our day-to-day world, including how in interaction we recreate our selves. This makes of even superficial symptoms a rich metaphoric system available for many kinds of communication.

A corollary to the meaning of symptoms is the semiotics of diagnosis. For the practitioner, the patient's complaints (symptoms of illness) must be translated into the signs of disease. (For example, the patient's chest pain becomes angina—a sign of coronary artery disease—for the physician.) Diagnosis is a thoroughly semiotic activity: an analysis of one symbol system followed by its translation into another. Complaints are also interpreted as syndromes—clusters of symptoms which run together over time—that indicate through their relationship a discrete disorder. Clinicians sleuth for pathognomonic signs—the observable, telltale clues to secret pathology—that establish a specific disease. This interpretive bias to clinical diagnosis means that the patient—physician interaction is organized as an interrogation (Mishler 1985). What is important is

not what the patient thinks but what he or she says. Since 80 percent of diagnoses in primary care result from the history alone, the anamnesis (the account the physician assembles from the patient's story) is crucial (see Hampton et al. 1975). That tale of complaints becomes the text that is to be decoded by the practitioner cum diagnostician. Practitioners, however, are not trained to be self-reflective interpreters of distinctive systems of meaning. They are turned out of medical schools as naive realists, like Dashiell Hammett's Sam Spade, who are led to believe that symptoms are clues to disease, evidence of a "natural" process, a physical entity to be discovered or uncovered. They are rarely taught that biological processes are known only through socially constructed categories that constrain experience as much as does disordered physiology; this is a way of thinking that fits better with the secure wisdom of physical science than with the nervous skepticism of the medical profession.

The upshot is that practitioners, trained to think of "real" disease entities, with natural histories and precise outcomes, find chronic illness messy and threatening. They have been taught to regard with suspicion patients' illness narratives and causal beliefs. The form of those narratives and explanations may indicate a morbid process; the content may lead them astray. The way of the specialist diagnostician, which is not to credit the patient's subjective account until it can be quantified and therefore rendered more "objective," can make a shambles of the care of the chronically ill. Predictably, the chronically ill become problem patients in care, and they reciprocally experience their care as a problem in the health care system. Illness experience is not legitimated by the biomedical specialist, for whom it obscures the traces of morbid physiological change; yet for the care giver of the chronically ill who would be an effective healer. it is the very stuff of care, "a symbol that stands for itself" (Wagner 1986). Legitimating the patient's illness experience—authorizing that experience, auditing it empathically—is a key task in the care of the chronically ill, but one that is particularly difficult to do with the regularity and consistency and sheer perseverance that chronicity necessitates. The interpretation of symptoms in the longitudinal course of illness is the interpretation of a changing system of meanings which are embodied in lived experience and which can be

understood through the acquisition of what amounts to an ethnographic appreciation of their context of relationships, the nature of their referents, and the history of how they are experienced.

Cultural Significance as Meaning

Illness has meaning in a second sense, insofar as particular symptoms and disorders are marked with cultural salience in different epochs and societies. These special symptoms and illness categories bring particularly powerful cultural significance with them, so to speak, often of a stigmatizing kind. Few North Americans have ever seen or heard of a case of leprosy, yet so fearsome is the mythology surrounding this category of disorder in the collective consciousness of the West that equally few would be likely to react without abhorrence or terrible fright if told that they or a close acquaintance were suffering from leprosy. No wonder the horrific name of this illness has been changed to the innocuous "Hansen's disease."

In the late Middle Ages, the Black Death (bubonic plague) depopulated the European continent by an astounding threefourths. In so doing, the Black Death became a symbol of evil and terror. It came to signify several things: the wrath of God, man's fallen state of sin and suffering, and death as transcendence of the immortal soul (Bynum 1985; Gottfried 1983). Whatever particular religious meaning the Black Death had for a community was overwhelmed by the immensely powerful practical meaning the term held for the afflicted and their families. The application of this illness label placed home and neighborhood under the isolation of quarantine and made the inhabitants doomed outcasts who posed the gravest dangers to society. That the word plague radiates hardly any significance today is an illustration of the process of transformation of meaning that Foucault (1966)—using the example of insanity in the West-showed can substantially change the nature of the culturally salient mark certain illnesses impress on the afflicted. The disappearance of plague epidemics must have contributed powerfully to this transformation.

In the Gilded Age of late nineteenth-century America, the vaporous paralyses of hysteria, neurasthenic weakness, and neurotic angst due to crises of personal confidence over career and family responsibilities were specially salient disorders regarded as products of the age. They spoke of a widespread middle-class malaise associated with the very rapid pace of social change that was transforming a North American society anchored in eighteenth-century ideals and rural or small town life styles into the twentieth-century culture of industrial capitalism (Drinka 1984). There was great concern with the effect of this massive societal transformation on individuals, usually bourgeois and upper-class men and women, whose symptoms were viewed ambivalently as the price that members of society had to pay for their world to become fully modern.

Let us take another example of culturally marked illness: witchcraft. Accusations of witchcraft in the early New England Puritan world congealed many of the core fears of the time, including threats of deviance, egocentricity; antisocial behavior, and sexuality. It represented an obsession with the control of jealousy and envy, and with explaining the presence of misfortune and maleficence in a world ruled by a stern but just God. In twentieth-century tribal societies in Africa, witchcraft symbolizes a similar concern with the sources of jealousy, envy, and misfortune, though here the emphasis is on human rather than Satanic evil. In the African setting, witchcraft also conveys fears regarding threats to procreativity and village unity (Turner 1967; Janzen 1978). In both societies witchcraft became a major explanatory model of malignant illnesses that were random and unpredictable, like witchcraft itself; it offered, furthermore, a magical means to exert control over seemingly unjust suffering and untimely death.

In Chinese society over the millenia, severe mental illness—labeled insanity, fengbing—held particular salience (Lin and Lin 1982). Even today insanity places stigma not just on the sick person but on the entire family. A marriage go-between traditionally asked if insanity was present among members of the family; if it was, she ruled the family out as a suitable source of spouses—a catastrophe in the family-centered Chinese social system. Families of schizophrenic and manic-depressive patients in present-day China and Taiwan, and even among the traditionally oriented Chinese in the

United States, still experience such great shame and other negative effects of stigma. It is often preferable to the members of this familistic culture that the patient remain institutionalized or live apart from home. The diagnosis of mental illness among Chinese is so threatening that the euphemism "neurasthenia" continues to flourish in China in the 1980s, long after its vogue in the West and other non-Western societies; the term provides a legitimate physical disease label as a cloak to disguise psychiatric problems that remain illegitimate and unacceptable. In 1980 and 1983 my wife and I conducted research in China (Kleinman 1982, 1986; Kleinman and Kleinman 1985) which disclosed that neurasthenia conveys other tacit problems as well, especially serious political, work, and family crises that have given rise to demoralization and alienation. One of the neurasthenia cases from our research in China will be described in chapter 6 to illustrate the cultural meanings of illness. The Chinese example offers a remarkable comparison with neurasthenia in late nineteenth and early twentieth century North America and Europe. For although this quintessential biopsychosocial problem crystallizes certain meanings unique to each society, there are also many instances when the social iconography of neurasthenia as sickness communicates identical meanings.

Perhaps the disorders of our own period in the West that carry the most powerful symbolic loading are cancer, heart disease, and the new venereal epidemics-herpes and acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS). The first-still a highly malignant, seemingly randomly occurring, largely uncontrollable problem—is a direct threat to major values of late twentieth-century American society. The specific values I have in mind include the transformation of chaotic human problems into closed-ended practical issues manageable by technological means rather than into open-ended questions concerned with moral ends. Cancer is an unsettling reminder of the obdurate grain of unpredictability and uncertainty and injustice-value questions, all-in the human condition. Cancer forces us to confront our lack of control over our own or others' death. Cancer points up our failure to explain and master much in our world. Perhaps most fundamentally, cancer symbolizes our need to make moral sense of "Why me?" that scientific explanations cannot provide. Cancer is also freighted with meanings of the risks

of invisible pollutants, such as ionizing radiation and even the chemical constituents of the very foods we eat. These menacing meanings meld ancient fears of contamination with the great modern threat of man-made catastrophes that poison the environment with toxic wastes. They disclose our inability to control the effects of technology. The popular view of anticancer drugs as poisons extends the imagery of risk from causes to treatments and seems to implicate biomedical technology as part of this danger.

Contrary to earlier assumptions, the more we have learned, the more threatening our environment has become. Heart disease, like cancer, seems to implicate our very way of life: what we choose to eat, what we like to do. It points to the frenetic pace of an economy predicated on ever more rapid technological change and its accompaniment, disordered physiology. It speaks to us of the risks of our personality style (in fact, that narcissistic personality precisely crafted to be most successful in the capitalist system). Heart disease invokes the ubiquitous tension in our lives, the breakdown of intimate social bonds, and the loss both of leisure and of sustained physical activity in our workaday world (Lasch 1979; Helman 1987).

The society-wide response to each problem also tells us much about the value structure of American society. We manage as medical problems the symptoms resulting from the social sources of distress and disease. We blame the victim in the ideology of personal life-style change. We avoid the hard, value-laden questions that underlie public health concern with cigarette smoking, exposure to carcinogens, promiscuous sexual practices, and what is euphemistically called unavoidable stress (what Taussig [1986] calls the "nervous" system of modern society). Both cancer and heart disease intensify our awareness of the dangers of our times and of the man-made sources of much misery. But the governmental response is meant to obfuscate this vision of sickness as meaning something is wrong with the social order and to replace (medicalize) it with narrowly technical questions. Is there a better mirror of what we are about?

Like cancer and heart disease, we can say of genital herpes and AIDS that these disorders bring particular cultural meaning to the person (Brandt 1984). As in the cases of syphilis and gonorrhea before them, herpes and AIDS brand the victim with the painful

(and in the case of the latter, deadly) stigmata of venereal sin. At the same time, the response to these diseases suggests that the dominant, commercialized sexual imagery of postindustrial capitalist society hides a double standard of both amoral promiscuity on behalf of individual rights and consumer values and highly moral, if archly hypocritical, condemnation of the venereal results. For each of these disorders meaning arrives with a vengeance together with the diagnosis: "She's got breast cancer and may die!" "I've got coronary artery disease and can't work any longer!" "Her boyfriend has herpes and infected her without warning her!" "Can you imagine, that fellow down the street has AIDS. You know what that means!" Each statement encases the patient in a visible exoskel ton of powerfully peculiar meanings that the patient must deal with, as must those of us who are around the patient. These meanings include the fear of a lingering and untimely death, the threat of disfiguring treatment with the concomitant loss of body- and selfimage, the stigma of self-earned illness, discrimination against homosexuals, and so forth. That exoskeleton is the carapace of culturally marked illness, a dominant societal symbol that, once applied to a person, spoils radically that individual's identity and is not easily removed.

Less solemn cultural meaning is exemplified by the lay perspective on hypertension in North America. Blumhagen's (1980) research describes the beliefs about hypertension held by a largely middle-class, college-educated clinic population in Seattle. The lay model takes the essence of hypertension to be too much tension, not necessarily high blood pressure, which is what the term denotes in biomedical usage. Blumhagen shows that the lay interpretation of hypertension as an illness is a North American folk model that helps explain the high rate of noncompliance with the medical drug regimens that characterize this disorder. Noncompliance is held by physicians to be a major obstacle to the effective management of hypertension. When patients feel "hyper-tense" they believe they are suffering the disorder and they take the medication. When they do not feel tense, they deny that they have hypertension and don't take the medicine. Here the illness model is the obverse of the disease model. The object of therapy is to control the blood pressure on a daily basis, independent of stress or tension. This folk model,

with its important implications for care, appears widespread in North American society in spite of health education campaigns in clinics and in the media. Its persistence is a measure of the staying power of cultural meanings.

It is not just the labels of disorders that are value laden. Symptoms, too, can carry cultural significance. For example, in the ancient Chinese medical texts, "headaches," "dizziness," and "weakness" receive special attention; the same symptoms are highlighted by patients and physicians in clinical settings in modern China (Kleinman 1986). Benveniste (1945), in an early and still provocative account of the relationship of symptoms to the tripartite social division of ancient Indo-European society, notes that wounds, blindness, and a weakness-exhaustion-debility complex of complaints have held special salience in Western society and have been associated with military, priestly, and agricultural functions, respectively. The epidemic of chronic pain complaints in North America suggests that pain has peculiar present-day significance and seemingly has usurped the cachet of exhaustion-weakness complaints of neurasthenia. Perhaps North American culture's ideology of personal freedom and the pursuit of happiness has come to mean for many guaranteed freedom from the suffering of pain. This meaning clashes strikingly with the expectation in much of the nonindustrialized world that pain is an expectable component of living and must be endured in silence.

It is not just that certain symptoms are given particular attention in certain cultural and historical settings, but that the meanings of all symptoms, as I have already noted, are dependent on local knowledge about the body and its pathologies. Hence, weakness in local Chinese communities connotes loss of vital energy (qi), a central theme in traditional Chinese ethno-medical theories. Excessive loss of semen, through masturbation or an overly active conjugal sex life, has always generated marked anxiety among Chinese because semen contains jing, or the essence of qi, which in turn is lost when semen is lost. This makes semen loss a potentially life-threatening illness in Chinese medical theory. Because of this set of beliefs, tradition-oriented Chinese adolescents and young adults are particularly fearful of the consequences of nocturnal emissions and other forms of semen loss; their view stands in striking contrast

with that of their counterparts in the present-day West, where it would seem to be positively valued. In South Asia, where Ayurve-dic medical theory holds that both men and women contain semen, leucorrhea carries the same fearful connotation for women. That female semen loss is impossible in biomedical theory illustrates the great semantic gap between illness and disease.

Other culturally particular symptoms described in the anthropological and cross-cultural psychiatric literature include "fright" leading to "soul loss" in Mexico and in various Asian societies, "nerves" in North and South America, fear that the penis is shrinking among Southeast Asians, and startle-related copying and echoing behavior (*latah*) affecting Malays. There exists a large assortment of so-called culture-bound complaints (see Simons and Hughes 1985).

It is a sign of the marked pluralism of North American society that symptoms hold special significance not just in the society as a whole but also in the distinctive life worlds shaped by class, ethnicity, age, and gender. Menopausal complaints are a preoccupation of white, middle-class women in midlife. But women of most other cultures pass through the menopause with few serious complaints and no conception of this life transition as an illness (Kaufert and Gilbert 1986; McKinlay and McKinlay 1985). Yet menopausal complaints are highlighted by the media and the medical profession for economic reasons. They have entered the popular North American culture as a marker of the feared transition to old age and asexuality in a society commercially centered on the cult of youth and sexual attraction. Similarly, premenstrual tension is a symptom constellation unheard of in much of the world and among members of traditional ethnic groups in the United States; but it is increasingly commonplace in white, middle-class North America. Non-Western practitioners regard premenstrual syndrome as yet another example of the unwillingness of middle-class Westerners to endure any pain or suffering, no matter how limited and expectable. Perhaps its cultural significance, lies in the strong ambivalence associated with traditional procreative functions and femininity among women in Western society. Rural blacks and poor whites in Appalachia complain of "high blood," "sugar," "fallin' out," "nerves," and other ailments that hold little, if any, significance in the urban Northeast

and that define this population as much as does their dialect (Nations et al. 1985). Complaints of "soul loss" (susto) among working-class Mexican-Americans in Los Angeles, spirit possession among Puerto Ricans in New York, voodoo among Haitian immigrants in Boston, "airs" (aires) and hot/cold imbalance among working-class Cubans in Miami, and evil eye among recent refugees from Latin America serve a similar function. They mark ethnic, class, and recent immigration statuses. They should signal to health professionals major cultural differences that require sensitive evaluation. All too frequently, however, they stimulate traditional ethnic stereotypes that may exert a mischievous influence on care.

Culturally salient illness meanings disclose change as well as continuity over time and place. The meaning of a lump in the breast is no longer limited to wealthier and better educated women in North America, and the potential physiological significance of coughing and wheezing among smokers is much better appreciated now than in the past. Alternatively, the bloody sputum, hectic flush, and elegant pallor so well known to readers of nineteenthcentury Western literature as signs of tuberculosis have lost their significance as a cohesive, popular cultural category. The significance of each of these disorders will hold a meaning different for Ethiopians than for Bostonians. Where acute disorder, starvation, and epidemic infectious disease are rampant it is unlikely that the symptoms of chronic conditions will hold as powerful a place in the local collective consciousness as they do in societies that have passed through the epidemiological transition to chronic disorder as the chief source of morbidity and mortality.

Baldness and impotence among middle-aged men, acne and short stature among adolescent males, obesity and eating preoccupation (bulimia and anorexia) among adolescent and young adult women, and cosmetic concerns among the elderly are culturally marked conditions that express the narcissistic preoccupation of modern Western society. Agoraphobia (fear of leaving the house) has been said to express through its symptom of houseboundedness the Western woman's ambivalence about the choice between having a working career and being a housewife (Littlewood and Lipsedge 1987). At present, the dementia of Alzheimer's disease captures popular attention in North America as an unacceptable index of the

final assault of aging on the autonomy of the person. Relabeling alcoholism as an illness and child abuse as a symptom of family pathology are further examples of the widespread process of medicalization in Western societies, whereby problems previously labeled and managed as moral, religious, or criminal are redefined as disorder and dealt with through therapeutic technology. These problems open a window on Western society, showing its chief cultural concerns and conflicts.

To recapitulate our main argument, cultural meanings mark the sick person, stamping him or her with significance often unwanted and neither easily warded off nor coped with. The mark may be either stigma or social death. Whichever, the meaning is inescapable, although it may be ambiguous and although its consequences can be significantly modified by the affected person's place in the local cultural system. People vary in the resources available to them to resist or rework the cultural meanings of illness. Those meanings present a problem to patient, family, and practitioner every bit as difficult as the lesion itself.

A final aspect of this type of illness meaning deserves mention. The cultural meanings of illness shape suffering as a distinctive moral or spiritual form of distress. Whether suffering is cast as the ritual enactment of despair, as paradigmatic moral exemplars of how pain and loss should be borne (as in the case of Job), or as the ultimately existential human dilemma of being alone in a meaningless world, local cultural systems provide both the theoretical framework of myth and the established script for ritual behavior that transform an individual's affliction into a sanctioned symbolic form for the group.

The German phenomenologist Plessner (1970) makes the cultural point about suffering this way. Illness in modern Europe or the United States, he avows, brings the sick person to the recognition of a fundamental aspect of the divided nature of the human condition in the West: namely, that each of us is his or her body and has (experiences) a body. In this formulation, the sick person is the sick body and also recognizes that he or she has a sick body that is distinct from self and that the person observes as if it were someone else. As a result, the sick both are their illness and are distanced, even alienated, from the illness. T. S. Eliot may have had this in

mind when he spoke of the "dissociation of sensibility" (cited in Rycroft 1986, 284) The modern Western cultural orientation contributes to our experience of suffering precisely through this reciprocal relationship between the actual experience qua experience and how each of us relates to that experience as an observing self. We might say that culture fills the space between the immediate embodiment of sickness as physiological process and its mediated (therefore meaning-laden) experience as human phenomenon—for example, as an alienated part of body-self, as a vehicle for transcendence, or as a source of embarrassment or grief. Illness takes on meaning as suffering because of the way this relationship between body and self is mediated by cultural symbols of a religious, moral, or spiritual kind. Inasmuch as the Western experience of the body-self dichotomy has throughout this century been exported to the rest of the world as a psychological component of modernization, perhaps the division of experience and meaning will become, at least for those most strongly influenced by Western values, universal in illness around the globe.

Let us restate the issue in sociological terms. Following Schutz (1968) we can view the individual in society as acting in the world by taking up a common-sense perspective on daily life events. The perspective comes from a local cultural system as the accepted way of conceiving (and thereby replicating) social reality. We create, not just discover, meaning in experiences through the process of meeting practical resistances in the real world, obstacles owing to the unequal distribution of available resources or the unpredictability and uncontrollability of life problems, for example. When we meet up with the resistance offered by profound life experience—the death of a child or parent or spouse, the loss of a job or home, serious illness, substantial disability-we are shocked out of our common-sensical perspective on the world (Keyes 1985). We are then in a transitional situation in which we must adopt some other perspective on our experience. We may take up a moral perspective to explain and control disturbing ethical aspects of our troubles, or a religious perspective to make sense of and seek to transcend misfortune, or, increasingly, a medical one to cope with our distress. In traditional societies, shared moral and religious perspectives on the experience of life crises anchor anxieties in established social insti-

tutions of control, binding threat in webs of ultimate meaning. In the fragmented, pluralistic modern world, anxiety increasingly is free floating and requires personal processes of creating idiosyncratic meaning to supplant the shared moral and religious significance that guided our ancestors on how to suffer (see Obeyesekere 1985). Lacking generally agreed-upon authorization for how to interpret misfortune, there is a definite tendency in the contemporary world to medicalize such problems and therewith to turn to the cultural authority of the health professions and science for an answer to our predicaments. Taking on a medical or scientific perspective, however, doesn't help us to deal with the problem of suffering: in contemporary biomedicine and the other helping professions there is no teleological perspective on illness that can address the components of suffering relating to problems of bafflement, order, and evil, which appear to be intrinsic to the human condition. Instead, the modern medical bureaucracy and the helping professions that work within it, as we have seen, are oriented to treat suffering as a problem of mechanical breakdown requiring a technical fix. They arrange for therapeutic manipulation of disease problems in place of meaningful moral (or spiritual) response to illness problems.

Clinical and behavioral science research also possess no category to describe suffering, no routine way of recording this most thickly human dimension of patients' and families' stories of experiencing illness. Symptom scales and survey questionnaires and behavioral checklists quantify functional impairment and disability, rendering quality of life fungible. Yet about suffering they are silent. The thinned-out image of patients and families that perforce must emerge from such research is scientifically replicable but ontologically invalid; it has statistical, not epistemological, significance; it is a dangerous distortion. But to evaluate suffering requires more than the addition of a few questions to a self-report form or a standardized interview; it can only emerge from an entirely different way of obtaining valid information from illness narratives. Ethnography, \ biography, history, psychotherapy—these are the appropriate research methods to create knowledge about the personal world of suffering. These methods enable us to grasp, behind the simple sounds of bodily pain and psychiatric symptoms, the complex inner

language of hurt, desperation, and moral pain (and also triumph) of living an illness. The authenticity of the quest for such human knowledge makes us stand in awe because of some resonant sensibility deep within. What is the metric in biomedical and behavioral research for these existential qualities? And lacking such understanding, can the professional knowledge that medical science creates be at all adequate for the needs of patients, their families, and the practitioner?

The problem of illness as suffering raises two fundamental questions for the sick person and the social group: Why me? (the question of bafflement), and What can be done? (the question of order and control). Whereas virtually all healing perspectives across cultures, like religious and moral perspectives, orient sick persons and their circle to the problem of bafflement, the narrow biomedical model eschews this aspect of suffering much as it turns its back on illness (as opposed to disease). Clinicians struggle, therefore, to transcend the limits of biomedicine so as to respond to personal and group bafflement by broadening their professional framework to include other models-such as the biopsychosocial or psychosomatic models—or by joining their patients through adapting either a common-sensical moral view or a more particular religious perspective. The difficulties of importing value systems into the patient-doctor relationship to fill a moral lacuna cannot be overemphasized. For these can and frequently do create even more conflicts than they resolve. The practitioner's values may not be the patient's. A narrowly particularistic moral or religious perspective may alienate, not aid, the family. But what is the alternative?

Consider a situation in which a moral or religious view is shared, forming the basis for the group's response to suffering. The value orientations of Buddhism and medieval Christian theology make of suffering not a wholly disvalued experience to be managed or negotiated, but an occasion for the work of cultural processes to transcend pain and dying. In fourteenth-century Europe, as already noted, when the Black Death depopulated the continent to an unequaled degree, the problem of suffering, articulated as both the question of meaning and the question of control, was a fundamental crisis for society. Society responded by reasserting the core religious and moral meanings that were threatened by the highly malignant

epidemic, as well as by applying those few social and technical controls available at the time. In our own time, the threat of manmade catastrophe raises similar questions of suffering; yet the societal response is almost entirely limited to rational-technical manipulations aimed at controlling practical problems, with scant attention to their deeper significance. Indeed, one reason for lay misinterpretations of the scientific discourse on risk is the tendency of laymen to reinterpret, in qualitative, absolute, personalized (nonrandom) terms, the scientists' quantitative, bell-shaped curves of the random distribution of risks in the population. That is to say, questions of the cultural significance of risk as bafflement come to the fore in spite of professional (and societal) attempts to expunge meaning and value from the equation of care. Suffering is not easily put aside by biomedical science; it remains central to the experience of illness, a core tension in clinical care.

References

Alexander, L. 1981. The double-bind between dialysis patients and their health practitioners. In *The relevance of social sciences for medicine*, edited by L. Eisenberg and A. Kleinman, 307–29. Dordrecht, Holland: D. Reidel.

———. 1982. Illness maintenance and the new American sick role. In *Clinically applied anthropology*, edited by N. Chrisman and T. Maretzki, 351–67. Dordrecht, Holland: D. Reidel.

American Psychiatric Association. 1980. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 3d ed. (DSM-III). Washington, D.C.

Aries, P. 1981. The hour of our death. Translated by Helen Weaver. New York: Alfred A. Knopf. Balint, M. [1957] 1973. The doctor, his patient and the illness. New York: International Universities Press.

Barme, G., and B. Lee, eds. and trans. 1979. The wounded: New stories of the cultural revolution, 1977-78. Hong Kong: Joint.

Barnes, D. M. 1987. Mystery disease at Lake Tahoe challenges virologists and clinicians. *Science* 234:541-42.

Bate, W. J. 1975. Samuel Johnson. New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.

Beeman, W. 1985. Dimensions of dysphoria. In *Culture and depression*, edited by A. Kleinman and B. Good, 216-43. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Bellah, R., et al. 1984. Habits of the heart. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Benveniste, E. 1945. La doctrine medicale des indo-européens. Revue de l'histoire des religions 130:5-12.

Berkman, L. 1981. Physical health and the social environment. In *The relevance of social science* for medicine, edited by L. Eisenberg and A. Kleinman, 51–76. Dordrecht, Holland: D. Reidel.

Berlin, I. 1978. The hedgehog and the fox. In Russian thinkers, 22–81. Harmondsworth, England: Penguin.

Black, D. 1980. Inequality in health: A report. London: Department of Health and Social Security.

Bloch, M., and W. Parry, eds. 1982. Death and the regeneration of life. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Blumhagen, D. 1980. Hyper-tension: A folk illness with a medical name. Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry 4:197-227.

Bokan, J., et al. 1981. Tertiary gain in chronic pain. Pain 10:331-35.

Bond, M. 1986. The psychology of the Chinese people. Hong Kong: Oxford University Press.

Bosk, C. L. 1979. Forgive and remember: Managing medical failure. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Boswell, J. [1799] 1965. Life of Johnson. London: Oxford University Press.

Brandt, A. 1984. No magic bullet. New York: Oxford University Press.

Brice, J. A. 1987. Empathy lost. Harvard Medical Alumni Bulletin 60(4):28-32.

Briggs, J. 1970. Never in anger: Portrait of an Eskimo family. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.

Brown, G., and T. Harris. 1978. The social origins of depression. New York: Free Press.

Browne, T. 1643. Religio medici. London: Andrew Crooke.

Burton, R. [1621] 1948. The anatomy of melancholy. Edited by F. Dell and P. Jordan-Smith. New York: Tudor.

Bynum, C. 1985. Disease and death in the Middle Ages. Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry 9:97-102.

Cassell, E. J. 1976. Disease as an "it": Conceptions of disease as revealed by patients' presentation of symptoms. Social science and medicine 10:143-46.

——. 1985. Talking with patients. Vol. 1, The theory of doctor-patient communication. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.

Chen, J. 1978. The execution of Mayor Yin and other stories from the great proletarian cultural revolution. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.

Cohen, S., and L. Syme, eds. 1985. Social support and health. New York: Academic Press.

Conrad, J. [1915] 1957. Victory. Garden City, N.Y.: Doubleday Anchor Books.

Crick, B. 1980. George Orwell: A life. Boston: Little, Brown.

Daniel, V. 1984. Fluid signs. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Dressler, W. W. 1985. Psychosomatic symptoms, stress and modernization. *Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry* 9:257–94.

Drinka, G. F. 1984. The birth of neurosis: Myth, malady and the Victorians. New York: Simon and Schuster.

Ebigbo, P. O. 1982. Development of a culture specific (Nigeria) screening scale of somatic complaints indicating psychiatric disturbance. *Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry* 1:29–43.

Eckman, P. 1980. Biological and cultural contributions to body and facial movement in the expression of emotion. In *Explaining emotions*, edited by A. O. Rorty, 73–201. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Eisenberg, L. 1981. The physician as interpreter: Ascribing meaning to the illness experience. Comprehensive Psychiatry 22:239–48.

Engel, G. 1968. A life setting conducive to illness: The giving-in given-up complex. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 69:293-300.

-----. 1971. Sudden and rapid death from psychological stress. Annals of Internal Medicine 74:771-82.

Enright, D. J., ed. 1983. The Oxford book of death. New York: Oxford University Press.

Erikson, E. 1958. Young man Luther. New York: W. W. Norton.

Fanon, F. 1968. The wretched of the earth. New York: Grove.

Favazza, A. R. 1987. Bodies under seige: Self-mutilation in culture and psychiatry. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Feinstein, H. 1984. Becoming William James. Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press.

Fitzpatrick, R. 1984. Lay concepts of illness. In *The experience of illness*, edited by R. Fitzpatrick et al., 11-31. London: Tavistock.

Foucault, M. 1966. *Madness and civilization*. Translated by Richard Howard. New York: Mentor Books.

Fox, R. C. 1959. Experiment perilous: Physicians and patients facing the unknown. New York: Free Press. Frankenberg, R. 1986. Sickness as cultural performance: Drama, trajectory, and pilgrimage. International Journal of Health Services 16(4):603-26.

Freidson, E. 1986. Professional powers: A study of the institutionalization of formal knowledge. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Frolic, M. 1981. Mao's people. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.

Geertz, C. 1986. Making experiences, authorizing selves. In *The anthropology of experience*, edited by V. W. Turner and E. M. Bruner, 373–80. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.

Goffman, E. 1963. Stigma. New York: Simon and Schuster.

Good, B. J. 1977. The heart of what's the matter: The semantics of illness in Iran. Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry 1:25-28.

Gottfried, R. S. 1983. The Black Death: Natural and human disaster in medieval Europe. New York: Free Press.

Groddeck, G. V. 1977. The meaning of illness. Translated by George Mander. London: Hogarth Press.

Groopman, L. 1987. Medical internship as moral education. Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry 11:207-28.

Hackett, T. P., and A. D. Weisman. 1960. Psychiatric management of operative syndromes. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 22(4):267–82.

Hahn, R., and A. Gaines. 1985. *Physicians of Western medicine*. Dordrecht, Holland: D. Reidel. Hahn, R., and A. Kleinman. 1983. Biomedical practice and anthropological theory: Frameworks and directions. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 12:305-33.

Hampton, J. R., et al. 1975. Relative contributions of history taking, physical examination and

laboratory investigation to diagnosis and management of medical outpatients. British Medical Journal 2:486-89.

Heaney, S. 1980. Preoccupations: Selected prose 1968-78. New York: Farrar, Straus, Giroux.

Heaney, S., and T. Hughes, eds. 1982. The rattle bag. London: Faber and Faber.

Heilbroner, R. 1986. The nature and logic of capitalism. New York: W. W. Norton.

Helman, C. 1978. "Feed a cold, starve a fever": Folk models of infection in an English suburban community. Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry 2:107-37.

----. 1984. Culture, health and disease. Boston: Wright.

一下,公存法院的指揮其他

——. 1985. Psyche, soma and society: The cultural construction of psychosomatic disease. *Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry* 9:1–26.

———. 1987. Heart disease and the cultural construction of time. Social Science and Medicine 24:969–79.

Horowitz, M. J., et al. 1984. Brief psychotherapy of bereavement reactions. Archives of General Psychiatry 41(5):438-48.

Hsu, F. 1971. Psychosocial homeostasis and *jen:* Conceptual tools for advancing psychological anthropology. *American Anthropologist* 73:23–44.

James, W. [1890] 1981. The principles of psychology, vol. 1. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.

----. [1899] 1958. Talks to teachers. New York: W. W. Norton.

Janzen, J. 1978. The quest for therapy in Lower Zaire. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Johnson, T. H., ed. 1970. The complete poems of Emily Dickinson. London: Faber and Faber.

Kafka, F. [1919] 1971. A country doctor. In *The collected stories*, edited by N. N. Glatzer. New York: Schocken.

Karasu, T. B., and R. I. Steinmuller, eds. 1978. Psychotherapeutics in medicine. New York: Grune and Stratton.

Katon, W., and A. Kleinman. 1981. Doctor-patient negotiation. In *The relevance of social science for medicine*, edited by L. Eisenberg and A. Kleinman, 253-79. Dordrecht, Holland: D. Reidel.

Katon, W., et al. 1982. Depression and somatization, parts 1 and 2. American Journal of Medicine 72:127-35, 241-47.

Kaufert, J. M., and W. W. Coolage. 1984. Role conflict among "culture brokers": The experience of native American medical interpreters. *Social Science and Medicine* 18(3):283–86.

Kaufert, P., and P. Gilbert. 1986. Women, menopause and medicalization. *Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry* 10:7–22.

Keyes, C. 1985. The interpretative basis of depression. In *Culture and depression*, edited by A. Kleinman and B. Good, 153-74. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Kleinman, A. 1980. Patients and healers in the context of culture. Berkeley: University of California Press.

-----. 1982. Neurasthenia and depression: A study of somatization and culture in China. *Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry* 6:117-89.

Kleinman, A., and J. Gale. 1982. Patients treated by physicians and folk healers in Taiwan: A comparative outcome study. *Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry* 6:405–23.

Kleinman, A., and B. Good, eds. 1985. *Culture and depression*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Kleinman, A., and J. Kleinman. 1985. Somatization. In *Culture and depression*, edited by A. Kleinman and B. Good, 429–90. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Kleinman, A., and T. Y. Lin, eds. 1982. Normal and abnormal behavior in Chinese culture. Dordrecht, Holland: D. Reidel.

Langness, L. L., and G. Frank. 1984. Lives: An anthropological approach to biography. Novato, Calif.: Chandler and Sharp.

Lasch, C. 1977. Haven in a heartless world: The family besieged. New York: Basic Books.

——. 1979. The culture of narcissism: American life in an age of diminishing expectations. New York: W. W. Norton.

Lazare, A. 1987. Shame and humiliation in the medical encounter. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 147:1653-58.

Legge, J., trans. [1891] 1959. The texts of Taoism. New York: Julian Press.

Leigh, H., and M. Reiser. 1980. The patient: Biological, psychosocial and social dimensions of medical practice. New York: Plenum.

Levy, R. 1973. Tahitians: Mind and experience in the Society Islands. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Lewis, G. 1975. Knowledge of illness in a Sepik society. London: Athlone.

-----. 1977. Fear of sorcery and the problem of death by suggestion. In *The anthropology of the body*, edited by J. Blacking, 111-44. New York: Academic Press.

Lewis, I. M. 1971. Ecstatic religion: An anthropological study of spirit possession and shamanism. Harmondsworth, England: Penguin.

Li, Y. Y., and K. S. Yang, eds. 1974. Zhongguo ren de xingge (The character of the Chinese). Taipei, Taiwan: Academia Sinica.

Liang, H., and J. Shapiro. 1983. Son of the revolution. New York: Alfred A. Knopf.

Lin, T. Y., and L. Eisenberg, eds. 1985. Mental health planning for one billion people. Vancouver: University of British Columbia Press.

Lin, T. Y., and M. C. Lin. 1982. Love, denial and rejection: Responses of Chinese families to mental illness. In *Normal and abnormal behavior in Chinese culture*, edited by A. Kleinman and T. Y. Lin, 387-401. Dordrecht, Holland: D. Reidel.

Link, P. 1983. Stubborn weeds: Popular and controversial Chinese literature after the Cultural Revolution. Bloomington: University of Indiana Press.

Lipkin, M. 1974. The care of patients: Concepts and tactics. New York: Oxford University Press. Lipowski, Z. J. 1968. Review of consultation psychiatry and psychosomatic medicine. Psychosomatic Medicine 30:395-405.

. 1969. Psychosocial aspects of disease. Annals of Internal Medicine 71:1197-1206.

Littlewood, R., and M. Lipsedge. 1987. The butterfly and the serpent: Culture, psychopathology and biomedicine. Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry 11:337-56.

Longhoffer, J. 1980. Dying or living? The double bind. Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry 4:119-36.
Lown, B., et al. 1980. Psychophysiological factors in cardiac sudden death. American Journal of Psychiatry 137(11):1325-35.

Lu Xun. 1981. A madman's diary. In *The collected stories of Lu Xun*, translated by Yang Xianyi and G. Yang, 26–38. Bloomington: University of Indiana Press.

McGuire, M. B. 1983. Words of power: Personal empowerment and healing. *Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry* 7:221–40.

McHugh, S., and T. M. Vallis, eds. 1986. Illness behavior. New York: Plenum.

MacIntyre, A. 1981. After virtue. South Bend, Ind.: University of Notre Dame Press.

McKinlay, S., and J. McKinlay. 1985. Health status and health care utilization by menopausal women. New York: Plenum.

Madan, T. N. 1987. Living and dying. In Non-renunciation themes and interpretations of Hindu culture, edited by T. N. Madan, 118-41. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.

Mayr, R. 1982. The growth of biological thought, diversity, evolution and inheritance. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.

Mechanic, D. 1986. Role of social factors in health and well being. Integrative Psychiatry 4:2-11.
 Metzger, T. 1982. Selfhood and authority in neo-Confucian China. In Normal and abnormal behavior in Chinese culture, edited by A. Kleinman and T. Y. Lin, 7-28. Dordrecht, Holland: D. Reidel.

Mishler, E. 1984. The discourse of medicine: Dialectics of medical interviews. Norwood, N.J.: Ablex. Mitchell, W. E. 1977. Changing others: The anthropological study of therapeutic systems. Medical Anthropology Newsletter 8(3):15-20.

Moerman, D. E. 1983. Anthropology of symbolic healing. Current Anthropology 20(1):59-80. Mumford, E., et al. 1984. A new look at evidence about reduced cost of medical utilization following mental health treatment. American Journal of Psychiatry 141:1145-58.

Munn, N. D. 1973. Walbiri iconography. Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press.

Myers, G. E. 1986. William James: His life and thought. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Nations, M., et al. 1985. "Hidden" popular illnesses in primary care: Residents' recognition and clinical implications. *Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry* 9:223-40.

Navarro, V. 1986. Crisis, health and medicine. London: Tavistock.

Needham, R. 1972. Belief, language and experience. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

-----, ed. 1973 Right and left: Essays on dual symbolic classification. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Nichter, M. 1982. Idioms of distress. Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry 5:379-408.

Noll, P. 1984. Diktate über Sterben und Tod. Zurich: Pendo Verlag.

Oakeshott, M. [1933] 1978. Experience and its modes. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Obeyesekere, G. 1985. Depression, Buddhism and the work of culture in Sri Lanka. In Culture and depression, edited by A. Kleinman and B. Good, 134–52. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Osterweis, M., et al. 1984. Bereavement. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press.

Osterweis, M., et al. 1987. Pain and disability: A report of the Institute of Medicine, National Academy of Sciences. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press.

Parish, W., and M. K. Whyte. 1978. Village and family in contemporary China. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Plessner, H. 1970. Laughing and crying: A study of the limits of human behavior. Evanston, Ill.: Northwestern University Press.

Porkert, M. 1974. The theoretical foundations of Chinese medicine: Systems of correspondence. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.

Potter, J. 1970. Wind, water, bones and souls: The religious world of the Cantonese peasant. *Journal of Oriental Studies* (Hong Kong University) 8:139-53.

Ratushinskaya, I. 1987. Two poems from prison, translated by F. P. Brent and C. Avins. New York Review of Books, May 7, 19.

Reid, J., and N. Williams. 1985. Voodoo death in East Arnhem Land: Whose reality? *American Anthropologist* 96(1):121–33.

Reiser, D., and D. Rosen. 1985. Medicine as a human experience. Rockville, Md.: Aspen.

Reiser, S. J. 1978. Medicine and the reign of technology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Rieff, P. 1966. The triumph of the therapeutic. New York: Harper and Row.

Roethke, T. 1982. The collected poems. Seattle: University of Washington Press.

Rosaldo, M. 1980. Knowledge and passion: Ilongot notions of self and social life. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Rosen, G., and A. Kleinman. 1984. Social science in the clinic: Applied contributions from anthropology to medical teaching and patient care. In *Behavioral science and the practice of medicine*, edited by J. Carr and H. Dengerink, 85–104. New York: Elsevier.

Rosenberg, C. 1986. Disease and social order in America. Milbank Memorial Quarterly 64(Suppl. 1):34–5.

Rycroft, C. 1986. Psychoanalysis and beyond. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Sacks, O. [1985] 1987. The man who mistook his wife for a hat. New York: Harper and Row.

Sandner, D. 1979. Navaho symbols of healing. New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.

Scarry, E. 1985. The body in pain. New York: Oxford University Press.

Schieffelin, E. 1976. The sorrow of the lonely and the burning of the dancers. New York: St. Martin's Press.

——. 1985. The cultural analysis of depressive affect: An example from New Guinea. In *Culture and depression*, edited by A. Kleinman and B. Good, 101–33. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Schutz, A. 1968. On phenomenlogy and social relations. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Showalter, E. 1985. The female malady: Women, madness, and English culture, 1830–1980. New York: Penguin.

Shweder, R. 1985. Menstrual pollution, soul loss and the comparative study of emotions. In *Culture and depression*, edited by A. Kleinman and B. Good, 82–215. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Sicherman, B. 1977. The uses of diagnosis: Doctors, patients and neurasthenics. Journal of the History of Medicine and Allied Sciences 32(1):33-54.

Simons, R., and C. Hughes, eds. 1985. Culture bound syndromes. Dordrecht, Holland: D. Reidel. Slaby, A. E., and A. S. Glicksman. 1987. Adaptation of physicians to managing life threatening illness. Integrative Psychiatry 4:162-72.

Spiro, H. 1986. Doctors, patients and placebos. New Haven: Yale University Press. Starr, P. 1982. The social transformation of American medicine. New York: Basic Books.

Stjernsward, J., et al. 1986. Quality of life in cancer patients: Goals and objectives. In Assessment of quality of life and cancer treatment, edited by V. Ventafridda et al., 1–8. Amsterdam: Excerpta Medica.

Stone, D. 1984. The disabled state. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Strauss, A., et al. 1985. Social organization of medical work. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Taussig, M. 1980. The devil and commodity fetishism in South America. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.

Thurston, A. F. 1987. Enemies of the people: The ordeals of the intellectuals in China's great cultural revolution. New York: Alfred A. Knopf.

Tiger, L. 1980. Optimism: A biology of hope. New York: Alfred A. Knopf.

Tseng, W. S., and J. Hsu. 1969. Chinese culture, personality formation and mental illness. International Journal of Social Psychiatry 16:5-14.

Tseng, W. S., and D. Wu., eds. 1985. Chinese culture and mental health. New York: Academic Press. Turner, B. 1985. The body and society. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.

Turner, J. A., and C. R. Chapman. 1982. Psychological interventions for chronic pain: A critical review, parts 1 and 2. Pain 12:1-21, 23-26.

Turner, V. 1967. The forest of symbols. Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press.

Unschuld, P. 1985. Medicine in China: A history of ideas. Berkeley: University of California Press. Veatch, R. M. 1977. Case studies in medical ethics. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.

Wagner, R. 1986. Symbols that stand for themselves. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Warner, W. L. [1937] 1958. A black civilization: A social study of an Australian tribe, revised edition. New York: Harper and Brothers.

Watson, J. L. in press a. Funeral specialists in Cantonese society: Pollution, performance and social hierarchy. In *Death ritual in late imperial and modern China*, edited by J. L. Watson and E. Rausch. Berkeley: University of California Press.

in press b. The structure of Chinese funerary rites: Elementary forms. In *Death ritual* in late imperial and modern China, edited by J. L. Watson and E. Rausch. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Waxler, N. 1977. Is mental illness cured in traditional societies? Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry 1:233-53.

——. 1981. Learning to be a leper. In Social contexts of health, illness and patient care, edited by E. Michler et al., 169-94. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Weisman, A. D., and T. P. Hackett. 1961. Predilection to death. Psychosomatic Medicine 23(3):232-56.

Williams, G. H., and P. Wood. 1986. Common sense beliefs about illness. *Lancet*, Dec. 20–27, 1435–37.

Witherspoon, G. 1975. The central concepts of Navajo world view. In *Linguistics and anthropology: In honor of C. F. Voegelin*, edited by D. Kinkade et al., 701–20. Lisse, Belgium: Peter de Ridder.

Wolf, M. 1972. Women and the family in rural Taiwan. Stanford, Calif.: Stanford University Press. Zborowski, M. 1969. People in pain. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Zerubavel, E. 1981. Patterns of time in hospital life. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Zola, I. K. 1966. Culture and symptoms: An analysis of patients' presenting complaints. American Sociological Review 3:615-30.

_____. 1982. Missing pieces: A chronicle of living with a disability. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Index

Abdominal pain, chronic: case example of, 75-83; interpretation of case example, 83-87 Abortion, neurasthenia and, 106 Acceptance of death, 147 Accidents, chronic pain and, 89 Acupuncture, in China, 220 Adolescents, paralyzed, case example of, 138-40, 142-43 Affective turbulence, 44 Africa, 19 Aged, see Elderly Aging, hypochondriasis and, 206 Agoraphobia, 25 AIDS, 21-22; chronic pain and, 77-78, 84; meanings of illness, 84; stigma and shame of illness, 160, 162-63 Alcoholism, chronic pain and, 69-70 Alexander, Linda, 170 Alsace, Nazis in, 86-87 Alternative therapies (or treatments), 46; for asthma, 123, 125; for chronic pain, 61; folk healers, 261-62; for hypertension, 135; for neurasthenia, 112-13, 117-18; professional sectors of medicine and, 262-63 Alzheimer's disease, 25-26, 182-83 Ambiguity, 215 "American disease," see Neurasthenia American Psychiatric Association, 102 American society, see North American soci-Amputation, in diabetes, 33-36 Anamnesis, 17 Anesthesiology, in pain centers, 172-73, 175 Anger: factitious illness and, 192-93; pain centers case example and, 177-79 Angina, diabetes and, 34, 36 Anthropology: chronic pain and, 84; coping with chronic illness and, 137; ethnographies and, 230-32; recording of cases and, 131 Antigone (Sophocles), 88n

Anti-Semitism, 86-87

Anxiety, 139; chronic pain case example and, 90; hypochondriasis and, 198; neurasthenia and, 103; in patient's life history, 238 Aries, P., 157n Arm pain, case example of, 175-80 "Art of medicine" writings, 227 Asian societies, 24; see also China Aspirations: chronic illness and, 137-38, 142; see also Coping Asthma: case example of, 122-28; physicians' personal experiences with, 211 Australian aboriginals, 12 Authenticity: of chronic pain, 57, 59, 68; of clinicians, 216; death from illness and, 153 Authoritarianism of clinicians, 129-30 Ayurvedic medical theory, 24

Back pain, chronic: case examples of, 60-71,

88-96; interpretations of case examples, 71-74, 96-99; meanings of illness and, 91, 96-99 Bafflement, 29, 30 Balint, M., 244 Barme, G., 105n Barnes, D. M., 241n Bate, W. Jackson, 143-44, 145 Bateson, Gregory, 170 Beard, George, 100, 103, 117 Beeman, W., 15 Behavioral psychology, 173-75 Bellah, R., 98 Benveniste, E., 23 Bereavement counseling, 140-41, 247-51 Berkman, L., 84 Berlin, Isaiah, 222n Biases of clinicians, 53, 243-44 Biographies, see Life histories -Biomedical approach (traditional biomedicine), 5-6, 223; failure of, 253-54; folk healers versus, 262; limitations of, 214-16; long-term care of chronic illness and, 136; Biomedical approach (continued) meaning-centered model and, 262, 266; methodology for care and, 228; in pain centers, 173-75 Birth defects, 171-72

Black, D., 84

Black Death (bubonic plague), 18, 29

Blacks: hypertension in, 135; social sources of illness and, 216-17

Bloch, M., 157n

Blumhagen, D., 22, 135

Body-self relationship, 11-13; suffering and,

Bosk, C. L., 210

Bowel cancer, hypochondriasis and, 197-200

Brain injuries, shame and, 164-69

Brandt, A., 21

Briggs, J., 14

Brown, G., 85

Buddhism, 142

Bureaucratization of care, 264

Burn-out of clinicians, 214, 223, 225-26

Bynum, C., 18

Cancer, 20-21; hypochondriasis and, 197-200; lung, 219-20; lymphatic, 184-85; terminal, case examples of, 146-49, 152-55,

Care givers, see Doctoring; Nurses; Paraprofessionals; Physicians; Practitioners

Cassell, E. J., 227, 234, 237n

Chapman, C. R., 57

Chen, J., 105n

Childhood of patients: coping with chronic illness and, 143; death from illness and, 150; factitious illness and, 189-90; neurasthenia and, 115-16; pain centers and, 179; see also Fathers: Mothers; Parents

Children: paralyzed, case example of, 138-

40; pediatrician on, 217-18

Children of patients: Alzheimer's disease and, 182-83; asthma and, 124-26; chronic pain case example and, 68; double binds and, 172; hypertension and, 132-33; neurasthenia and, 106-7; see also Family

China (Chinese culture): the body in, 11-12; death from illness in, 152-55; family in, 154-55; insanity in, 19-20; leprosy in, 164; mental illness as stigma to family in, 108-9, 160; neurasthenia in, 23, 103-10; profile of physician in, 220-21; stress in, 109n

Chronic illnesses: oscillating course of, 7-9; somatization and, 59; see also specific topics

Chronicity, 180-82

Chronic pain, 56-74; authenticity of, 57, 59, 68; meanings of illness and, 59-60; in North American society, 56-57; somatiza-

tion and, 57-60; stigma and, 160; see also specific types of chronic pain

Church, see Religion

Claudication, 34, 36

Clinical methodology, 228

Clinical reality, 52-53

Clinicians, see Physicians; Practitioners

Clusters of pain, 63

Cohen, S., 84

Collaboration: methodology for care and, 242, 242n, 246; patient's self-care and, 261

Colostomies, shame and, 163

Commodity, medicine as, 218-19, 258

Common-sense perspective, 27

Communication: doctor-patient, 129; ethnographies and, 234-35; pain as language of, 179

Complaints, 5, 14-16; somatization and, 58-59; see also Illness idioms

Compromise, methodology for care and,

Confidence: loss of, 45; neurasthenia and, 105, 108, 116; of practitioners, 225

Conflicts: double binds and, 170-72; explanatory models and, 121, 128-30, 134-36; hypochondriasis and, 196; life world, 40-42

Conrad, Joseph, 143

Constipation, chronic pain and, 82, 84 Conversion disorder, 40-41

Coping, 137-45; case example of, 140-44; paralyzed youth and, case example of, 138-40, 142-43; suicide and, 139

Coronary artery insufficiency, diabetes and, 34, 36-37

Counseling: bereavement, 140-41, 247-51; genetic, 171-72; meaning-centered model and, 264-65

Courage, 45; case example of, 140-44; see also Coping

Creativity, 95, 112

Crises, in chronic illness, 44

Culturally salient illnesses, 18-30

Cultural Revolution, in China, 105, 105n

Culture (cultural orientations), 5; Chinese, death from illness and, 152-55; chronic pain case example and, 96-97; health care system and, 259; hypertension case example and, 135-36; hypochondriasis and, 206; neurasthenia and, 101, 103, 118-19; stigmas and, 159-60; women's conflicts and, 98

Cures, myths of, 229, 229-30n Cynicism, 217-18, 223

Daniel, V., 12

Death, 146-57; cancer case example and, 146-49, 153-55, 157; Chinese culture and, 152-55; heart attack case example and, 149-52, 155-56; hypochondriasis and, 204-5; of patient who declined treatment, 157n; psychogenic, 151-52, 155-56, 155n; stages of, 147, 154

Decision making, chronic pain case example and, 95, 98–99

Demoralization, 69; asthma case example and, 125, 128; biology of, 117; chronicity and, 180; chronic pain case example and, 76, 79–80; neurasthenia and, 105–6, 114 Denial, 47, 53

Dependence: chronic pain and, 91, 95; neurasthenia and, 116

Depression: asthma and, 123, 127; in China, 109; chronic pain case example and, 69, 90, 94; death from illness and, 150, 155; neurasthenia and, 103, 108; pain centers case example and, 178; in patient's life history, 238

Desires: frustration of, 88–99; chronic pain case example and, 88–99; coping with chronic illness and, 142

Details, 47

Diabetes, 171; case example of, 32–39; physician's personal experiences with, 211

Diabetic retinopathy, 33, 36

Diagnosis: doctor-patient communication and, 129-30; of hypochondriasis, 207; interrogation and, 129; semiotics of, 16-17

Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, third edition (American Psychiatric Association) (DSM-III), 102

Dialysis, 171

Dickinson, Emily, 60

Diet, 14; hypertension and, 132–34

Dignity, death from illness and, 153

Disability, 56, 60, 74, 111; benefits, 110; in China, 106, 107n; suits, 51; system of, 57

Disease: definition of, 5; hypochondriasis and, 195; meaning-centered model and, 254-55, 257, 265; neurasthenia as, 102-4; practitioner's concern with, 120, 135; see also specific topics

Disfigurement, shame and, 161-62

Distress: chronic pain and, 57; chronic pain case example and, 69; neurasthenia and, 117

Diverticulitis, 76

Divorce, chronic pain case example and, 94-95, 98; stigma and shame of illness and, 165

Doctoring: ethnography compared to, 230–32; healing compared to, 211; see also Physicians; Practitioners

Double binds, 170-72

Drafts, chronic pain and, 89

Dreams, in asthma case example, 124

Dressler, W. W., 135

Drinka, G. F., 19, 101-2

Drugs: for asthma, 122–23, 125; for chronic pain, 56–57, 62, 69, 89; hypertension and, 134; neurasthenia and, 113; pain centers case example and, 178

DSM-III, see Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Dysthymic disorder, neurasthenia and, 114

EB (Epstein-Barr) virus, 114 Ebigbo, P. O., 15 Economics, 218–19, 219, 258 Eczema, 218; shame and, 163–64

Education, medical, 223–25; 254–59

Eisenberg, L., 155n

Ekman, P., 15

Elderly, 47; illness narratives of, 49-50

Eliot, T. S., 26-27

Emanuel, Ezekiel, 154n

Embarrassment, see Shame

Empathetic witnessing, 54, 154, 228, 230, 232-33, 244-49

Employment, see Work

Engel, George, 6, 151, 156

Epilepsy, 143

Erikson, Erik, 88n

Ethnographies, 180, 230–36; definition of, 230; meaning-centered model and, 256; methodology for care and, 230–36

European society, neurasthenia in, 102-3 Exhaustion, 118; factitious illness and, 191; hypertension and, 133; neurasthenia and,

107; see also Neurasthenia

Explanations (explanatory models): asthma case example and, 122–28; conflicting, 121, 126–30, 134–36; of family members, 250; hypertension case example and, 130–36; illness narratives and, 49–51; interpretations of illness and, 48, 51–52; meaning-centered model and, 256; meanings of illness and, 43–49; methodology for care and, 239–44; of practitioners, 240–44; psoriasis case example and, 128–30; psychological experience and, 45–47; significance of, 121–22

Face, disfigurement of, 161-62

Factitious illness, 187–93; case example of, 188–93; definition of, 187–88; meanings of illness and, 193

Faculty, medical school, 256-57

Failure, chronic pain case example and, 82-83, 84

Family (family life), 165; Alzheimer's disease and, 182-83; asthma case example and, 124-27; in Chinese culture, 154-55;

Family (family life) (continued) chronic pain case example and, 65, 67-71, 81-83, 91-95; death from illness and, 150, 152, 154-55; double binds and, 172; hypertension and, 132-33; hypochondriasis 184-85; and, 207-8; lymphoma and, meaning-centered model and, 259-61; mental illness in China and, 108; methodology for care and, 249-50; muscular dystrophy and, 183-84; neurasthenia and, 106-7, 115-16; physician profiles and, 213; social context of illness and, 182-86; stigma and shame of illness, 160-61; women and, career conflicts, 98-99; see also Children of patients; Fathers; Mothers; **Parents**

Fanon, Franz, 217, 217n

Fathers: chronic pain case example and, 69, 82-83, 92-93; death from illness and, 150; factitious illness and, 189-90; neurasthenia and, 116; physician profiles and, 211-13; see also Family

Fatigue, see Exhaustion; Neurasthenia

Favazza, A. R., 188n

Fear of death, 147, 149-51, 155-56

Feinstein, Howard, 101-2

Fetishism, 192

Folie à deux, 155, 155n

Folk medicine, 135, 261-62

Foucault, M., 18

Fox, R. C., 210

France, anti-Semitism in, 86-87

Frankenberg, R., 181

Freedom, chronic pain case example and, 91, 95, 97

Freidson, E., 210

Freud, Sigmund, 40, 42-43

Frolic, M., 105n

Frustrations, see Desires, frustration of

Gaines, A., 210
Gale, J., 110, 262
Gangrene, diabetes and, 33–36
Genetic counseling, 171–72

Gilbert, P., 24

Glicksman, A. S., 224-25

Goffman, E., 158, 159

Good, B. J., 15

Gottfried, R. S., 18

Grief: in diabetes, 35–36, 38–39; meanings of illness and, 45; methodology for care and, 247–51

Groopman, L., 257

Group therapy, for paralyzed adolescents,

Guilt: chronic pain case example and, 65, 86, 92n, 94; in diabetes, 37; factitious illness

and, 190, 192; neurasthenia and, 106n, 113-14

Hackett, T. P., 156

Hahn, R., 48, 210

Hampton, J. R., 17, 130

Handicap, see Disability; Disfigurement

Harmony, Chinese medicine and, 220

Harris, T., 85

Headaches, 11; complaints of, 15, 16; hypertension and, 132–33; hypochondriasis and, 199; tension, 13

Healing, doctoring compared to, 211

Health care system: meaning-centered model for, 259-65; reform of, 259-61, 265 Health maintenance organizations (HMOs),

218-19

Heaney, S., 228, 251

Heart attacks, death from, 149-52, 155-56 Heart disease, 21; case example of myocarditis, 140-44; hypochondriasis and, 200-204

Heilbroner, R., 258

Helman, C., 16, 21, 45

Herbal medicine, in China, 220

Heroism, 45

Herpes, genital, 21-22

Hewitt, John, 228

Hippocrates, 12

Hispanic Americans, 25

Histories, methodology for care and, 236–38

Holistic medicine, 112, 118, 196; hypertension and, 135

Holocaust, chronic pain case example and,

Home life: asthma case example and, 124–25; chronic pain case example and, 80, 82; death from illness and, 147–48; hypertension and, 132–35; neurasthenia and, 107, 111; pain centers case example and, 178

Homosexuality: AIDS and, 84, 162-63; chronic pain case example and, 77-78, 82

Hope, in case example, 140-44; see also Coping

Hopelessness, 139; asthma and, 125; death from illness and, 150; neurasthenia and, 105, 107; see also Pessimism

Horowitz, M. J., 247

II... I 100.

Hsu, J., 155n

Hughes, C., 24 Humanities, 255, 266

Humiliation, see Shame

Hypertension, 22-23, 130-36

Hypochondriasis, 194–208; cancer case example and, 197–200; definition of, 194; heart and lung disease case examples and, 200–204; hormonal case example and, 204–5; interpretations of case examples,

205–8; life world and, 217; meanings of illness and, 206; somatic, 196n Hysterical conversion, 40–41

Idealism, 219-20, 223-24, 254
Illness: definition of, 3-4; hypochondriasis and, 195; meaning-centered model and, 254-55, 257, 265; patient's concern with, 120

Illness experience: chronic pain case example and, 96; coping with chronic illness and, 144–45; ethnographies and, 232–33; illness narratives and, 49–50; insights gained through, 55; interpretations of illness and, 48, 51–52; legitimation of, 17; life world of illness and, 32, 43; psychological experience and, 45–47

Illness idioms, 5, 13-15; chronic pain case example and, 72; see also Complaints Illness meanings, see Meanings of illness

Illness narratives, 28; clinical tasks and, 49; doctoring work and, 96; of elderly, 49–50; empathetic witnessing and, 54; medical training and, 255–56; mini-ethnographies and, 233; physician profiles and, 215; retrospective element of, 50–51

Illness problem lists, 235

Imagery: chronic pain case example and, 72; 85–86; crucifixion and, 91; neurasthenia and, 101, 112

Incompetency, shame of, 164-69

Independence: chronic pain case example and, 91, 93, 95; of women, 98

India, 14; body-self in, 12

Influence of practitioners, 130-36

In-laws, asthma case example and, 124, 126–27

Inner world: of clinicians, 210; factitious illness and, 193; meanings of illness and, 39–43, 193

Insanity, 18; in China, 19-20; see also Mental illness

Insensitivity: of clinicians, 163-64; of paraprofessionals, 263; of professionals, 131, 136

Insights: death from illness and, 157; moral, see Moral insights; of parents, 83-84; of patients, 119

Integrated care: limitations of, 180; in pain centers, 172–75

International Classification of Disease, ninth revision (World Health Organization) (ICD-9), 102

Interpretation, 48: chronic pain case example and, 73–74; by clinicians, 51–52; by ethnographers, 231; of explanatory models, 240; of meaning of illness, 253

Interrogation, diagnosis and, 129
Interviewing for ethnographies, 234–35
Intestinal cancer, hypochondriasis and, 197–200
Intestinal disease, 218
Intestinal pain, 75–87
Irony, hypochondriasis and, 195, 207–8
Irritable bowel syndrome, 218
Isolation, chronic pain case example and, 64, 81

James, William, 47, 54, 245 Janzen, J., 14, 19 Jews, chronic pain case example and, 86–87 Job, see Work

Kaluli, 14
Karasu, T. B., 245
Katon, W., 7, 57, 235
Kaufert, P., 24
Keyes, C., 27, 157n
Kidney disease, 171
King Lear (Shakespeare), 141–42n
Kleinman, A., 7, 14, 15, 20, 23, 48, 85, 100n, 109, 110, 155n, 181, 222, 235, 259, 262
Kleinman, J., 15, 20

Lack of confidence, 225; neurasthenia and, Larkin, Philip, 251 Lasch, C., 21, 102, 154n Lazare, A., 161 Lee, B., 105n Legal issues, malpractice and, 51, 217, 264 Legge, J., 249 Leigh, H., 227 Leprosy, 18; shame and, 164; as stigma, 159-60 Levy, R., 157n Lewis, G., 14, 155n Li, Y. Y., 155n Liang, H., 105n Life histories, 236-38; meaning-centered model and, 256 Life world, meanings of illness and, 31-32, 39-43 Lin, M. C., 19

Lin, T. Y., 19, 155n Link, P., 105n Lipkin, M., 227 Lipowski, Z. J., 40 Lipsedge, M., 25 Literature, medical, 227 Litigation, see Legal issues Littlewood, R., 25 Living conditions: chronic pain case example

and, 80, 82; neurasthenia and, 107, 111; stigma and shame of illness and, 165-66; see also Home life

Loneliness, 95; see also Isolation

Longhoffer, Jeffrey, 171 Lord lim (Conrad), 143

Losses: in diabetes, 35-36, 38-39; grieving for, 247-51

Loss of confidence, 45; neurasthenia and, 105, 108

Lown, B., 156

Lung cancer, 219-20

Lung disease, hypochondriasis and, 202-4

Lu Xun, 84

Lymphoma, 184-85

McGill Pain Questionnaire, 62-63 McGuire, M. B., 262

McHugh, S., 181

MacIntyre, A., 154n

McKinlay, J., 24

McKinlay, S., 24

Madan, T. N., 157n

Malpractice litigation, 51, 217, 264

Mao Zedong, 109

Marriage: asthma case example and, 124-26; chronic pain case example and, 93-96; neurasthenia and, 106; pain centers case example and, 176; women's careers and, 98-99

Masochism, factitious illness and, 192

Mayr, R., 197

Meaning-centered model of medicine, 252-67; health care system and, 259-65; medical education and, 254-59; medical research and, 265-67; purpose of medicine and, 253-54

Meanings of illness, 8-10; AIDS and, 84; chronic pain case example and, 83-87, 91, 96; chronic pain syndrome and, 59-60, 71-72; coping with chronic illness and, 142-45; cultural salience and, 18-30; death from illness and, 154; explanation and emotion, 43-55; hypochondriasis and, 194, 206-8; interpretations of illness and, 52, 253; life world and, 31-32, 39-43, 193; medicine's purpose and, 253; mini-ethnographies and, 233; physician profiles and, 210-11, 222-26; psychoanalytical approach to, 40, 42; psychological experience and, 45-47; social context and, 184-85; symptoms and, 10-18, 23-24; terminal illness and, 148-49, 156-57

Mechanic, D., 84

Media, misinformation in, 241

Medical education, 223, 224-25; meaningcentered model for, 254-59

Medicalization, 26, 28; see also Biomedical approach

Medical professionals: chronic pain and, 56-57; chronic pain case example and, 71-72: see also Nurses; Physicians; Practitioners

Medical records, see Records

Medical research, 265-67

Medical students, 223, 233; meaning-centered model and, 254-59; profile of, 219-20

Medicine: as commodity, 218-19, 258; meaning-centered model for, 252-67; purpose of, 253-54; see also specific topics

Menopausal complaints, 24

Menstruation, 12, 14

Mental illness, in China, 108-9, 160; see also Brain injuries; Insanity; Neurasthenia

Methodology, treatment, 227-51; explanatory models and, 239-44; family support and, 249-50; goal of, 229; life histories and, 236-38; mini-ethnographies and, 230-36; negotiation and, 239, 242-43; psychotherapy and, 244-49; remoralization and, 244-51

Mexico, 24

Mishler, Elliot, 16, 129, 210

Mitchell, Weir, 101, 103

Moerman, D. E., 245

Moles, facial disfigurement from, 161-62 Mononucleosis, neurasthenia and, 111-12 Monosymptomatic hypochondrical psychosis, 195n

Moral insights, 55, 87; chronic pain case example and, 87; coping with chronic illness and, 143; medicine and, 215; physician profiles and, 222; psychotherapy as, 246

Moral perspective, suffering and, 26-30 Mothers: in chronic pain case example, 70, 82-83; death from illness and, 150; factitious illness and, 189-92; hypertension case example and, 132-33; muscular dystrophy and, 183-84; neurasthenia and, 115-16; see also Family

Movement, chronic pain case example and,

Multidisciplinary care, 172-75, 180

Mumford, E., 258

Munchausen, Baron, 187

Munchausen's syndrome, 187-88

Munn, N. D., 12

Muscular dystrophy, 183-84

Mutilation, 12

Myocarditis, case example of, 140-44

Myth, illness narrative as, 50

Narcissistic personality, 21, 25
Narratives, see Illness narratives
Narratization, retrospective, 50–51
Nations, M., 25, 135
Navaho, 12
Navarro, V., 259
Nazis, in France, 86–87
Neck pain, 88–99; case example of, 88–96; interpretation of case example, 96–99; meanings of illness and, 91, 96–99
Needham, R., 13
Negotiation, methodology for care and, 239.

Negotiation, methodology for care and, 239, 242-43

Neurasthenia, 100–120; American case example of, 111–17; in China, 20, 23; Chinese and American case examples compared, 117–20; Chinese case example of, 104–10; contemporary medical view of, 102–3; definition of, 100; DSM-III criteria for, 102–3; history of, 100–102; interpretation of case examples, 117–20

New Guinea, 14 Nichter, M., 13, 14 Nigeria, 15 Nightmares, in asthma case example, 124 Noncompliance, 22

North American society, 13; Chinese culture compared to, 155, 155n; chronic pain as public health concern in, 56-57; chronic pain case example and, 84; culturally salient illnesses and symptoms in, 19-26; folk healers in, 262; headaches in, 11; hypertension in, 22-23; life world of illness and, 32; menopausal complaints in, 24; neurasthenia in, 100-103, 111-17; pain in, 23; premenstrual syndrome in, 24; self-help movement in, 261; symptoms in, 14-15; women in, 97-98
Nosophobia, 206

Obeyesekere, G., 28, 157n
Obligations, chronic pain case example and, 99
Observation, ethnographies and, 230
Obsessions: death from illness and, 151–52; hypochondriasis and, 199
Office staff, insensitivity of, 263
Once born, James's concept of, 54
Optimism, 54–55
Osler, Sir William, 227
Osterweis, M., 56, 85, 181, 248, 258

Pain, see Chronic pain
Pain centers, 172–82; arm pain case example
and, 175–80; biomedical versus behavioral
perspective in, 173–75

Panic disorders, 200n: chronic pain case example and, 90
Paraplegics, 41–42, 138–40, 142–43
Paraprofessionals, insensitivity of, 263
Parents: chronic pain case example and, 69–70, 82–83, 92–93; death from illness and, 148; hypertension and, 132–33; insights of,

83-84; neurasthenia and, 115-16 Parish, W., 155n Parry, W., 157n Patients, definition of, 3-4n Patients' records, see Records Pediatricians, 217-18

Personal and social meanings of illness, 31–55; explanation and emotion, 43–55; life world and, 31–32; life world and inner world, 39–43; neurasthenia, in China, 108–9

Personal life: abdominal pain and, case example, 75–87; chronic pain case example and, 96–99; factitious illness and, 192; hypochondriasis and, 203; methodology for care and, 237; physician profiles and, 222; stigma and, 160

Perspectivism, of ethnographers, 231

Pessimism, 54–55; chronic pain case example and, 68–69; death from illness and, 150–51; neurasthenia and, 105, 107

Physical activities, chronic pain case example and, 89–91

Physical factors, neurasthenia and, 118 Physical therapy, pain centers case example and, 176

Physicians, brief profiles of, 209–26; asthmatic healer, 211–13; burned-out internist, 213–14; Chinese healer, 220–21; cynical pediatrician, 217–18; family physician, 214–16; HMO psychiatrist, 218–19; innercity internist, 216–17; interpretation of, 222–26; meanings of illness and, 209–11; medical student, 219–20; see also Practition-

Physiology, somatization and, 58–59 Placebos, 245, 255 Plessner, H., 26 Politics, in physician profile, 216–17, 223–24 Pollution, 12–14 Potter, J., 155n

Practitioners: anthropologists compared to, 230–32; biases of, 53, 243–44; burn-out of, 214, 223, 225–26; chronicity and, 181–82; confidence among, 225; death from illness and, 147, 149, 151–52, 153–54; double binds for patients and, 170–71; explanatory models and, 122, 127–28, 240–44; hypochondriasis and, 196; illness inadvertently worsened by, 127–28; inner world of, 210; insensitivity of, 163–64; interrogative style of, 129; life histories and,

Practitioners (continued)

237; meanings of illness and, 210-11, 222-26; in pain centers, 172-82; patients' communication with, 129; physician profiles, 209-26; recording of cases by, 130-36; stigma and shame of illness, 160-61, 167-69

Pregnancy, neurasthenia and, 106n Premenstrual syndrome, 24 Pressure, see Stress Problem lists, 235

Problem patients, 249; methodology for care of, 246-47; neurasthenia and, 102, 110, 120

Professionalism, 224-25

Psoriasis, case example of, 128-30; life world and, 40-43; neurasthenia and, 102, 109, 114

Psychiatry (psychiatric care): asthma and, 123-24; chronic pain case example and, 69, 74, 79-80, 90; coping with chronic illness and, 137; death from illness and, 151-52, 156; hypochondriasis and, 194, 196n; life histories and, 238; in pain centers, 173-75; physician profiles and, 218-19; see also Psychotherapy

Psychogenic death, 151-52, 155-56, 155n Psychological experience, meanings of illness and, 45-47

Psychology: asthma and, 127; behavioral, 173-75; chronic pain case example and, 85 Psychosis, hypochondriasis and, 195

Psychosomatic conditions, 40; neurasthenia and, 113

Psychotherapy: asthma and, 126-27; for chronically medically ill, 39; chronic pain case example and, 97; factitious illness and, 192-93; medical, 97; methodology for care and, 244-49; as moral relationship, 246; for neurasthenia, 119; remoralization and, 244-49

Qi (vital energy), 140; neurasthenia and, 108-9 Quadriplegics, 138-40, 142-43

Ratushinskaya, Irina, 54

Reid, J., 155n

Records (recordings of cases), 130-36; hypertension and, transcript of interview, 131-34; hypertension and, written record interpretations, 134-36; of life histories, 236-38; of mini-ethnographies, 230-36

Rehabilitation: pain centers case example and, 176; of paralysis, case example, 138-40; terminal illness and, 149-50

Reiser, D., 227 Reiser, M., 227

Reiser, S. J., 210

Religion (religious beliefs): asthma case example and, 126; chronic pain case example and, 69, 91–93; coping with chronic illness and, 141-42; death from illness and, 148, 154; stigmas and, 159; suffering and, 26-30

Remoralization, 39, 54, 97; methodology for care and, 244-51

Research, medical, 265-67

Resentment, 139

Residents: meaning-centered model and, 257-58; stressful lives of, 138

Retinopathy, diabetic, 33, 36

Retrospective narratization, 50-51

Revolution, social, 216-17, 223-24

Rheumatic fever, chronic pain case example and, 92

Rieff, P., 102, 154n

Risk, 30, 241

Rituals, recording of cases as, 131

Rosaldo, M., 15

Rosen, D., 227

Rosen, G., 235

Rycroft, C., 27

Salt, hypertension and, 132-34 Sandner, D., 12

Schieffelin, E., 14, 157n

Schutz, A., 27

Science: lay cultures and, 241; limitations of, 214-16

Secrets, chronic pain case example and, 93 Self-actualization, 98

Self-bleeding, case example of, 188-93

Self-care, 260-61

Self-inflicted illness, see Factitious illness Self-loathing: chronic pain case example and, 77-78, 80, 85; factitious illness and, 190, 192

Semen, loss of, in China, 23-24 Semiotics, 231-32; diagnosis as, 16-17 Sensitivity, physician profiles and, 219-20 Separations, chronic pain case example and, 94-95

Sex, asthma case example and, 125 Shakespeare, William, 141-42n

Shame, 161-69; AIDS and, 162-63; brain injury and, 164-69; colostomies and, 163; coping with chronic illness and, 143; eczema and, 163-64; facial disfigurement and, 161-62; leprosy and, 164; see also Guilt; Stigma

Shapiro, J., 105n Shenjing shuairuo, see Neurasthenia Showalter, E., 97n

Shweder, R., 12, 14
Sicherman, B., 101
Sickness, definition of, 6
Sick person, definition of, 3–4n
Sign-object interpretations, chronic pain case example and, 85–86
Signs of disease, 16
Simons, R., 24
Simple-mindedness, shame and, 164–69
Skin cancer, hypochondriasis and, 199
Slaby, A. E., 224–25
Sleeplessness, hypertension and, 132–33
Social meanings of illness, see Personal and

social meanings of illness
Social world (social context; social expectations), 99, 170–86; Chinese, death from illness and, 152–55; chronicity, 180; double binds and, 170–72; family and, 182–86; hypertension and, 135–36; meanings of illness and, 184–85; neurasthenia and, 109–10, 118–19; pain as communication and, 179; in pain centers, 172–82; physician profiles and, 216–17, 223–24

Somatization: chronic pain and, 57-60, 71, 73; definition of, 57

73; definition of, 5 Sophocles, 88n South America, 24 South Asia, 24 Southeast Asians, 24

Spine, chronic back pain case example and, 60-71

Spiro, H., 245

Spouse: Alzheimer's disease and, 182–83; asthma and, 123–27; chronic pain case example and, 67–68, 70, 72, 93–96; death from illness and, 149–51; double binds and, 172; lymphoma and, 184–85; methodology for care and, 250; neurasthenia and, 106–7; pain center case example and, 176, 178; physician profiles and, 213; shame of illness and, 165; see also Family

Sri Lanka, 160 Starr, P., 259

Steinmuller, R. I., 245

Stereotyping of chronic patients, 53 Stigma, 158-61; cultural meanings of, 159-60; etymology of, 158; see also Shame

Stone, D., 56

Strauss, A., 181

Stress: asthma and, 124–25; biology of, 117; in China, 109n; chronic pain case example and, 64–65, 78, 90–91n; hypertension and, 135; medical residents and, 138; neurasthenia and, 102, 107, 109; psoriasis and, 128–29; somatization and, 58–59

Students, medical, see Medical students Suicide, paralyzed youth and, 139-40, 142 Supervisor, chronic pain case example and, 77, 81-82 Surgery, 68, 177 Symbols, life world, 42 Syme, L., 84

Symptoms: chronic pain case example and, 76; cultural salience of, 23-24; life world and, 40-42; meanings, 10-18, 23-24; neurasthenia and, 103, 108, 112-14; in North American society, 14-15; psychiatry and, 40, 42-43; somatization and, 58-59; see also specific illnesses

Taiwan: death from illness in, 152-55; leprosy in, 164; neurasthenia in, 110

Taussig, M., 20, 51

Technology, 215, 242

Teleologies, 154

Tension headaches, 13

Terminal illness, 146–57; cancer case example, 146–49, 153–55, 157; Chinese culture and, 152–55; heart attack case example, 149–52, 155–56; patients who decline treatment, 157n

Texts of illness: doctor-patient communication and, 130; life world, 42; see also Ethnographies; Life histories; Records

Theory, illness behavior versus, 215; explanatory models and, 49

Thurston, A. F., 105n

Thyroid disease, hypochondriasis and, 204–5 Tiger, L., 48

Training, see Medical education

Treatment: alternative, see Alternative therapies; for asthma, 122, 127–28; in China, see China; for chronic abdominal pain, 78; chronic back pain case example and, 61–62; chronic pain case example and, 73–74, 97; day-by-day course of illness and, 46; double binds and, 171; expectations regarding, 241–42; explanatory models, see Explanations; goal of, 229; of hypochondriasis, 196–97, 207–8; methodology for care and, 227–51; multidisciplinary care, 180; of neurasthenia, 110, 119; for paralyzed adolescents, 138–40; patient's refusal of, 157n; physical, 176–77; see also Psychotherapy; Rehabilitation

Tseng, W. S., 155n
Turbulence, affective, 44
Turner, B., 12
Turner, J. A., 57
Turner, V., 19
Twice born, James's concept of, 54

Unschuld, P., 12 Urban areas, social sources of illness and, 216–17 Validity, interpretation of illness and, 74 Vallis, T. M., 181 Values, recording of cases and, 131; of traditional biomedicine, 136 Veatch, R. M., 210 Victorian society, neurasthenia in, 101 Victories, coping with chronic illness and, 137-38, 141, 143 Victory (Conrad), 143 Viral disease, chronic, 114, 118 Viruses, neurasthenia and, 114, 118 Vulnerability: chronic pain case example and, 91; neurasthenia and, 114; physicians' personal experiences with, 216; shame of illness and, 169 Vulnerability of pain, 56-74; back pain, case example of, 60-71; back pain, interpretation of case example, 71-74; somatization and, 57-60

Wagner, R., 17
Warner, W. L., 12
Watson, J. L., 155n
Waxler, Nancy, 160, 229n
Weakness, 23; see also Neurasthenia
Weisman, A. D., 156
Whitehead, Alfred North, 145
Whyte, M. K., 155n
Williams, G. H., 241
Williams, N., 155n
Williams, William Carlos, 215
Witchcraft, 19

Withdrawal, chronic pain case example and, 64 Witherspoon, G., 12 Witnessing, empathetic, see Empathetic witnessing Wolf, M., 155n Women, 12-13; chronic pain case example and, 97-98; neurasthenia and, in Victorian society, 101; see also Mothers Wood, P., 241 Work: asthma case example and, 124-25; chronic pain case example and, 66-67, 76-78, 85, 90 (see also specific types of chronic pain); coping with chronic illness and, 140-41; hypertension and, 132; neurasthenia and, 105-6, 108, 112, 114-15; women and, career versus marriage conflicts, 98 World Health Organization, 102 Worries, 44, 200-202; see also Anxiety

Wretched of the Earth, The (Fanon), 217n

Yang, K. S., 155n Yin and yang, 109, 221

Wu, M., 155n

Zborowski, M., 157 Zerubayel, E., 263 Zhuangzi (Chinese sage), 249 Zola, I. K., 157, 167-69